

Audit Committee, 19 March 2015

Risk Register Update

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

The Risk register is updated on a rolling six monthly timetable. The version presented, will be used as the basis for department based presentations of risks for the next six months.

Decision

The Committee is asked to discuss the paper

A simple Risk Assurance map is attached at the request of Audit Committee.

Background information

None

Resource implications

Included within the Operations workplan for 2014-15

Financial implications

Included within the Operations budget for 2014-15

Appendices

Appendix 1 March Risk Register

Risk Register

Marc Seale, Chief Executive & Registrar Report to Audit Committee, (Feb 2015)



Jul 2014 Risk Assessment

Contents	Page
Contents page	2
Top 10 HCPC risks	3
Changes since last published	4
Strategic risks	5
Communications risks	8
Continuing Professional Development (CPD) risks now in Registrations risks	
Corporate Governance risks	9
Education risks	12
Finance risks	20
Fitness to Practise risks	18
HR risks	16
Information Security risks	23
Information Technology risks	10
Legal risks	17
Operations risks	6
Partner risks	11
Pensions risks	22
Policy & Standards risks	19
Project Management risks	13
Quality Management risks	14
Registration risks	15
Appendix i Glossary and Abbreviations	24
Appendix ii HCPC Risk Matrix	25
HCPC Risk Matrix terms detail	26
Appendix iii HCPC Strategic Objectives & Risk Appetite	27
Appendix iv HCPC Assurance Mapping	28

				THE HEALTH AND CARE	PROFESSIONS COUNCIL	-				
				"Top 10" Ris	kS (High & Medium	n after mitigation)				
	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	CURRENT RISK SCORE	2014	2014	Sept 2013 Risk	Feb 2013 Risk
3	PSA full cost recovery model places significant financial pressure on HCPC from August 2015 onwards (pre-mit 20)	Chief Executive & Finance Director	Consider increase in fees	Legislative and operational adjustments		High	High	High	Low	
,	Interuption to electricity supply (pre-mit 16)	Facilities Manager	Relocate to other buildings on site	If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan	-	High	High	High	High	High
3	Tribunal exceptional costs (pre-mit 25)	FTP Director	Quality of operational processes	Accurate and realistic forecasting	Quality of legal advice	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
	Loss of reputation (pre-mit 20)	Chief Executive	Quality of governance procedures	Quality of operational procedures	Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
1	Basement flooding (pre-mit 16)	Facilities Manager	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress	-	-	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
4	Rapid increase in number of allegations and resultant legal costs (pre-mit 16)	FTP Director	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
1	Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance (pre-mit 15)		Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium

Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
-	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
-	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium		
-	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
							ĺ

Risks listed in order of CURRENT RISK SCORE, then PRE_MITIGATION SCORE

15.23

2.7

13.3

1.5

2.11

12.1

R 13.4

Historic Risk Scores

Feb

2011

Risk

High

High

Medium

Sept 2010

Risk

High

High

Medium

Medium

Medium

Feb

2010

Risk

July 2011

Risk

High

High

Medium

Medium Medium Medium

Medium Medium

Sept 2012 Risk Risk

High

High

High

High

Medium

Medium

Changes since the previous iteration of HCPC's Risk Register

Category	Ref#	Description	Nature of change in this version
All	All	Update all dates to latest iteration of risk register	
Project Management	8.2	Failure to regulate new profession	update likelhood
	8.13	Failure to build a sytem to the Education Depts requirements	update likelhood
	8.14	Failure to deliver a sytem to the HR & Partners Depts requirements	update likelhood
	8.19	Failure to build a sytem to the Registration Depts requirements	New project
	8.20	Failure to successfully replace the Lotus Notes system eith Microsoft Outlook	New project
Finance	15.23	PSA fees to commence August 2015	Description updated following DH announcement
Information Security	17.1-6	Update descriptive wording of individual risks	
Information Security	17.8	Failure to maintain accurate risk assessments	from ISO27001 process
			Add Risk Appetite to Stratgic Objectives page

Overview of Risk Management process

Throughout the year exisiting risks are continually monitored and assessed by Risk Owners against Likelihood, and Impact on HCPC, the effectiveness of mitigations and the levels of residual risk.

Future risks are also documented, evaluated and monitored against the same criteria.

Every six months these changes and additions to risks are updated in the risk register and formally documented by the Director of Operations or Head of Business Process Improvement, and the Top Ten Risks (High & Medium only after mitigation) are presented to the Audit Committee.

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Strategic

Ref	f Categ	gory	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
1	Strate	tegic	1.1	HCPC fails to deliver SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill	Council	5	1	5	Delivery of HCPC Strategy	Publication of Annual Report	-	Low	Low
				Links to 7.1-7.4, 18.1, 8.1-8.3, 10.4, 10.5, 11.4, 15.9									
	Strate	tegic	1.2	Unexpected change in UK legislation	Chief Executive	5	2	10	Relationship with Government depts	Enviromental scanning	-	Low	Low
				Links to 2.2, 15.14									
	Strate	tegic	1.3	Incompatible SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill and EU legislation	Chief Executive	1	3			Membership of Alliance of UK Health Regulators on Europe (lobby group)	-	Low	Low
	Strate	tegic		Failure to maintain a relationship with PSA (formerly CHRE)	Chief Executive & Chair	5	1	5	HCPC Chair and Chief Executive relationship with PSA	Communications	-	Low	Low
	Strate	tegic	1.5	Loss of reputation	Chief Executive & Chair	5	4	20	Quality of governance procedures		Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium	Medium
	Strate	tegic		Failure to abide by current Equality & Diversity legislation	Chief Executive	4	2	8		employees implimentation of scheme	Equality & Diversity working group	Low	Low
	Strate	tegic	1.7	Failure to maintain HCPC culture	Chief Executive	5	2	10	Behaviour of all employees	Induction of new employees	Internal communication	Low	Low
L										l			

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Operations

Re	f	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
2	2	Operations	2.1	Inability to occupy premises or use interior equipment	Facilities Manager	4	2	8	Invoke Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity plan	Commercial combined insurance cover (fire, contents, terrorism etc)	-	Low	Low
		Operations	2.2	Rapid increase in registrant numbers Links to 1.2. 13.4	Chief Executive and EMT	3	5	15	Scaleable business processes and scalable IT systems to support them	Influence the rate at which new professions are regulated	-	Low	Low
		Operations	2.3	Unacceptable service standards	Director of Operations	5	4	20	ISO 9001 Registration, process maps, well documented procedures & BSI audits	Hire temporary employees to clear service backlogs	Detailed workforce plan to match workload.	Low	Low
	Ţ			Links to 9.1, 10.4									
		Operations	2.4	Inability to communicate via postal services (e.g. Postal strikes)	Facilities Manager	3	3	9	Use of other media including Website, newsletter & email and courier services	Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan	Collection of >80% income fees by DD	Medium	Medium
		Operations	2.5	Public transport disruption leading to inability to use Park House	Facilities Manager & Head Bus Proc	4	5	20	Contact employees via Disaster Recovery Plan process	Make arrangements for employees to work at home if possible	-	Low	Low
		Operations	2.6	Inability to accommodate HCPC employees	Facilities Manager	4	3	12	Ongoing Space planning	Additional premises purchase or rented	-	Low	Low
		Operations	2.7	Links to 5.2 Interruption to electricity supply	Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Relocate to other buildings on site	If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan	-	High	High
		Operations	2.8	Interruption to gas supply	Facilities Manager	1	2	2	Temporary heaters to impacted areas			Low	Low
		Operations	2.9	Interruption to water supply	Facilities Manager	2	2	4	Reduce consumption	Temporarily reduce headcount to align with legislation	Invoke DR plan if over 24 hrs	Low	Low
		Operations	2.10	Telephone system failure causing protracted service outage	Director of IT	4	3	12	Support and maintenance contract for hardware and software of the ACD and PABX	Backup of the configuration for both the ACD and PABX	Diverse routing for the physical telephone lines from the two exchanges with different media types	Low	Low
		Operations	2.11	Basement flooding	Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress	-	-	Medium	Medium
		Operations	2.12	Significant disruption to UK transport network by environmental extremes e.g. snow, rain, ash; civil unrest or industrial actor; disrupts planned external activities	Director of Operations & Head Bus Proc	3	2	6	Use of alternate networks	Use of video or teleconferencing facility to achieve corum	Invoke Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity plan	Low	Low
		Operations	2.14 (formerl y11.5)	Health & Safety of employees	Chief Executive & Facilities Manager	5	4	20	Health & Safety Training, policies and procedures	H&S Assessments	Personal Injury & Travel insurance	Low	Low
				Links to 4.9, 6.3									

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Operations

Ref	Category	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	
	Operations	2.15	Expenses abuse by Partners not prevented	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	1	2	2	Clear and appropriate Partner Expenses policy		Planned travel supplier only policy in near future	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Communications

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
3	Communications	3.1	Failure to inform public Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Delivery of communications strategy.	Delivery of aspects of communications workplan, specifically public information campaigns, multi media advetising, distribution of public information materials, and web.	-	Low	Low
	Communications		Loss of support from Key Stake holders including professional bodies, employers or government	Director of Comms	5	3	15	Delivery of communications strategy, supporting the HCPC strategy	Delivery of aspects of communications work plan, specifically stakeholder activities	Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
	Communications	3.3	Links to 1.5 Inability to inform stakeholders following crisis	Director of Comms	4	1	4	Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan	Up to date Comms DR plan available	-	Low	Low
	Communications	3.4	Failure to inform Registrants Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Delivery of communications strategy	Delivery of aspects of communications workplan, specifically, Meet the HCPC events, campaigns, Registrant Newsletter, Profesional media and conference attendance. Publications and web.	Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
	Communications	3.5	Publication of material not approved for release	Director of Comms	4	2	8	Delivery of communications plan	Adherence to operational plans (Social Media planner)	-	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Corporate Governance

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
4	Corporate Governance	4.1	Council inability to make decisions Links to 4.4	Director of Council & Committee Services, & Chair	3	1	3	Regular meetings, agendas and clear lines of accountability between Council and committees	Well researched and drafted decision papers at meetings	Attendance by external professionals as required	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.2	Council members conflict of interest	Chair	4	4	16	Disclosure of members' interests to the Secretariat and ongoing Council & committee agenda item	Annual reminder to update Register of Interests	Member induction and training	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.3	Poor decision-making eg conflicting advice or conflicting advice and decisions	Chair	4	1	4	Well-researched & drafted decision papers, Clear lines of accountability and scheme of delegation	Chair's involvement in the induction and relevant training of members	Attendance by external professionals, as required.	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.4	Failure to meet Council/Committee quorums / failure to make quorate decisions Links to 4.1	Director of Council & Committee Services	4	3	12	Clear communication of expectations of Council members' duties upfront	Adequate processes notifying Council & committee members of forthcoming meetings prior to meeting icluding confirmation of attendance		Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.5		Chair	4	1	4	Appointment against competencies	Annual appraisal of Council members	Removal under Sch 1, Para 9(1)(f) of the HSWPO 2001	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.6	Poor performance by the Chair	Council	5	1	5	Appointment against competencies	Power to remove the Chair under Sch 1, Article 12(1) C of the HSWPO 2001	-	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.7	Poor performance by Chief Executive	Chair	5	1	5	Performance reviews and regular "one to ones" with the Chair	Contract of Employment	-	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.8	Improper financial incentives offered to Council members/employees	Chair and Chief Executive	4	2	8	Gifts & Inducements policy	Council member code of conduct	Induction training re:adherence to Nolan principles & Bribery Act 2010	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.9	Failure to ensure the Health & Safety of Council Members ? Should this be HCPC wide? Links to 6.3, 11.5	Director of Council & Committee Services , Facilities Manager & Finance Director	4	2	8	Safety briefing at start of each Council or Committee meeting.	H&S information on Council Extranet	Personal Injury and Travel insurance	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.10	Member recruitment problem (with the requisite skills)	Chair	4	2	8	Maintenance of a detailed role description for these positional applicants on to HCPC or its committees	Use of skills matrix in recruitment exercise	Induction of panel members	Low	Low
			Links to 6.1, 11.13									
	Corporate Governance	4.11	Expense claim abuse by members	Director of Council & Committee Services	4	2	8	Members Code of Conduct (public office)	Clear and comprehensive Council agreed policies posted on the Council member Extranet and made clear during induction	Budget holder review and authorisation procedures	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.12	Operationalise Section 60 legislation	Council	5	2	10	Scheme of delegation	MIS	EMT & CDT	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.13	Failure to comply with DPA 1998 or FOIA 2000, leading to ICO action	Director of Council & Committee Services	3	3	9	Legal advice	Clear ISO processes	Department training	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.15	Failure to adhere to the requirements of the Bribery Act 2010	Chair, & Director of Council & Committee Services	4	2	8	Suite of policies and processes related to the Bribery Act	Oversight of HCPC processes that could be vulnerable to bribery, by EMT and Internal Audit	Compliant processes designed for HCPC as a matter of course	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.16	PSA fails to recommend appointment of Council members to the Privy Council	Director of Council & Committee Services	1	5	5	Sign off of high level process by Council	PSA comments on advance notice of intent acted on appropriately	PSA informed of any deviations from agreed process at earliest opportunity	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.17	Failure to meet requirements of the constitution order	Director of Council & Committee Services	3	1	3	Scrutiny of advance notice of intent	Targeted advertising strategy	_	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Information Technology

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
5	п	5.1		Director of IT	4	5	20	Anti-virus software deployed at several key points.Application of security patches in a timely manner	Adherence to IT policy, procedures and training	Regular externally run security penetration tests.	Low	Low
	п	5.2	Links to 2.3, 10.2 Technology obsolescence, (Hard/SoftWare)	Director of IT	2	2		Delivery of the IT strategy including the refresh of technology.	Employ small core of mainstream technology with recognised support and maintenance agreements	Accurately record technology assets.	Low	Low
	п	5.3	Links to 2.6, 10.2 Fraud committed through IT services	Director of IT	3	3	9	Appropriate and proportionate access restrictions to business data. System audit trails.	Regular, enforced strong password changes.	Regular externally run security tests.	Low	Low
	п	5.4	Links to 10.2 and 17.1 Failure of IT Continuity Provision	Director of IT	4	3	12	Annual IT continuity tests	IT continuity plan is reviewed when a service changes or a new service is added	Appropriate and proportionate technical solutions are employed. IT technical staff appropriately trained.	Low	Low
	π	5.5	Malicious damage from unauthorised access	Director of IT	4	5	20	Security is designed into the IT architecture, using external expert consultancy where necessary	Regular externally run security penetration tests.	Periodic and systematic proactive security reviews of the infrastructure. Application of security patches in a timely manner. Physical access to the IT infrastructure restricted and controlled.	Low	Low
	п	5.6	Data service disruption (via utility action)	Director of IT	5	1	5	Redundant services	Diverse routing of services where possible	Appropriate service levels with utility providers and IT continuity plan	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Partners

Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)			Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
Partners	6.1	suitable Partners	Partner Manager	3	3	9	Targetted recruitment strategy.			Low	Low
Partners		Incorrect interpretation of law and/or SI's resulting in PSAHSE	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	2	4	8	Training	Legal Advice	Regular appraisal system	Low	Low
Partners	6.3		Partner Manager	3	2	6	H&S briefing at start of any HCPC sponsored event.	Liability Insurance	-	Low	Low
Partners	6.4	Partners poor performance	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	4	3	12	Regular training	Regular appraisal system	Partner Complaints Process &Partner Code of Conduct	Low	Low
Partners	6.5	Incorrect interpretation of HSWPO in use of Partners	of Education, Head of Registration, Partner	3	2	6	Correct selection process and use of qualified partners	Daily Email notificaton of partner registrant lapse	-	Low	Low
Partners	6.6	Adequate number and type of partner roles	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of	3	2	6	Regular review of availability of existing pool of partners to ensure requirements are met.	Annual forecasting of future partner requirements to ensure that they are budgetted for.	across professions for Panel Member and Panel Chair to ensure adequate supply in line	Low	Low
Partners	6.7	User departments using non- active partners	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	3	3	9	Notification of partner resignations to user departments.	Current partner lists available to user departments on shared drive.	-	Low	Low
Partners	6.8	Expense claim abuse by Partners	of Education, Head of	2	2	4	Budget holder review and authorisation process	Comprehensive Partner agreement	items by, Finance department	Low	Low
	Partners Partners Partners Partners Partners Partners Partners Partners Partners	Partners 6.1 Partners 6.2 Partners 6.3 Partners 6.4 Partners 6.5 Partners 6.6 Partners 6.6 Partners 6.7	Partners 6.1 Inability to recruit and retain suitable Partners Partners 6.1 Inability to recruit and retain suitable Partners Partners 6.2 Links to 4.10, 11.3, 7.3, 18.1 Partners 6.2 Incorrect interpretation of law and/or St's resulting in PSAHSE review Partners 6.3 Health & Safety of Partners Partners 6.4 Partners poor performance Partners 6.5 Incorrect interpretation of HSWPO in use of Partners Partners 6.6 Adequate number and type of partner roles Partners 6.6 Expense claim abuse by Partners	Category Ref # Description person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing maning the ongoing risk) Partners 6.1 Inability to recruit and retain suitable Partners Partner Manager Links to 4.10, 11.3, 7.3, 18.1 Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager Partners 6.2 Incorrect interpretation of Iaw and/or Sir sesulting in PSAHSE Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager Partners 6.3 Health & Safety of Partners Partner Manager Partners 6.4 Partners poor performance Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager Partners 6.5 Incorrect interpretation of HSWPO in use of Partners Director of FTP, Director of Education, Partner Manager Partners 6.6 Adequate number and type of partner roles Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration Partners 6.7 User departments using non- active partners Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Registration	CategoryRef #Descriptionperson responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)Impact before mitigations Jan 2015Partners6.1Inability to recruit and retain suitable PartnersPartner Manager3Partners6.1Inability to recruit and retain suitable PartnersPartner Manager3Partners6.2Incorrect interpretation of law and/or Sts resulting in PSAHSEDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner2Partners6.3Health & Safety of PartnersPartner Manager3Partners6.4Partners poor performanceDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner4Partners6.5Incorrect interpretation of HSWPO in use of PartnersDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner3Partners6.6Adequate number and type of partner rolesDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration3Partners6.7User departments using non- active partnersPartner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration3Partners6.8Expense claim abuse by PartnersPartner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration3	CategoryRef #Descriptionperson responsible for assessing and marging the ongoing risk)Impact before mitigations Jan 2015Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015Partners6.1Inability to recruit and retain suitable PartnersPartner Manager33Partners6.2Incorrect interpretation of law and/or St's resulting in PSAHSE reviewDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Partner Manager24Partners6.3Health & Safety of PartnersPartner Manager32Partners6.4Partners poor performanceDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Partner Manager43Partners6.4Partners poor performanceDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Partner Manager43Partners6.5Incorrect interpretation of HSWPO in use of PartnersDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration32Partners6.6Adequate number and type of partner rolesDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration32Partners6.6Incorrect interpretation of reviewDirector of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration32Partners6.6Adequate number and type of partner rolesPartner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration32Partners6.7User departments using non- active partnersPartner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration3	CategoryRef #Descriptionperson responsible for anaaging the ongoing mitigations JanLikelihood before mitigations JanLikelihood before mitigations JanRisk Score = Impact x LikelihoodPartners6.1Inability to recruit and retain 	CategoryRef #DescriptionParson responsible for managing the ongoing nisk)Impact before mitigations Jan 2015Risk Score = impact.Mitigation IPartners6.1nability for recruit and retain subable PartnersPartner Manager339Targetted recruitment strategy.Partner6.1inbitity for recruit and retain subable PartnersPartner Manager339Targetted recruitment strategy.Partner6.2incorrect interpretation of law and/or Sir seulting in PSAHSE reviewDirector of FTP, Director registration, Partner Manager248TrainingPartners6.3Health & Safety of PartnersPartner Manager326.0H&S briefing at start of any HCPC sponsored event.Partners6.3Health & Safety of PartnersPartner Manager326.0H&S briefing at start of any HCPC sponsored event.Partners6.4Partners poor performanceDirector of FTP, Director rector of FTP, Directo	CategoryRef #DescriptionParton engoinable for assessing the engolg mitigations Ja 2016Risk Score 2016Risk Score impact x 2016Risk Score impact x 2016Mitigation IIPartners6.1Inability to recrut and relain atable PartnersPartner Kanager339.9Targetted recrutment strategy.Appropriate fees for partner services and remunement of expenses.Partners6.2Incorrect interpretation of law resulting in PAR-RE to feed cord FTP. Director refered atom, Partner Manager326.6Historian of and resenting in PAR-RE resenting in PAR-RE Registration, Partner Registration, Partner Registration, Partner326.0Historian of and resenting in PAR-RE resenting in PAR-RE Registration, Partner326.0Historian of and resenting in PAR-RE resenting in PAR-RE Registration, Partner Registration, Partner Registration, Partner326.0Historian of and resenting in PartnerLegal AdvicePartners6.4Restor 4.9, 11.5Incorrect interpretation of Registration, Partner Manager1326.0Head trainingRegular appraisal systemPartners6.4Restor 4.9, 11.5Incorrect interpretation of Registration, Partner Registration, Partner Registration, Partner Registration, Partner Registration, Partner Registration, Partner Registration, Partner226Correct selection process and use of qualified partners to ensure requirements to ensure requirements to use of qualified partners to ensure requirements to usaled for co	Category CategoryRefImage DescriptionImage composible for managing the onglo mitigations and 2016Image composition managing the onglo mitigations and 2016Risk Score + managing the onglo mitigations and managing the onglo mitigations and mitigation and managing the onglo mitigation and managing the onglo mitigation and managing the onglo mitigation and managing the onglo mitigation and managing the onglo mitigation and managing the onglo mitigation and managing the onglo mitigation and managing the onglo mitigation	CategoryRefDescriptionPerson reportion on marging the origin integriction in the origin integriction in the origin integriction in the origin integriction in the origin integriction i

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Education

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
7	Education	7.1	Failure to detect low education providers standards	Director of Education	4	2		Operational processes (approval, monitoring and complaints about an approved programme)	Regular training of employees and visitors	Memorandums of understandings with other regulators (e.g. CQC and Care Councils)	Low	Low
	Education		Links to 1.1 , 4.3, 6.4 Education providers refusing visits or not submitting data	Director of Education	3	2	6		Delivery of Education Dpt supporting activities as documented in regular work plan	-	Low	Low
	-	7.3	Links to 1.1 Inability to conduct visits and		4	2	8	Adequate resourcing, training and visit		Temporary staff hire to backfill		
	Education	7.3	monitoring tasks Links to 1.1. 6.1. 11.2 & 11.3	Director of Education	4	2	0	scheduling	Approvals & monitoring processes	or clear work backlogs	Low	Low
	Education	7.4	Loss of support from Education	Chief Executive or Director of Education	5	2			Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Publications, Newsletters, website content, inclusion in consultations and relevant PLGs, consultations with education providers	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1, 14.2									
	Education	7.5	Education database failure	Director of IT	3	2	6	Effective backup and recovery processes	In house and third party skills to support system	Included in future DR/BC tests	Low	Low
	Education	7.6	Loss or significant change to funding, commissioning and placement opportunities for approved programmes	Director of Education	3	2			Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Regular training of employees and visitors	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Project Management

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the on-going risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
8	Project Management		Fee change processes not operational by required date	Director of Finance Project Portfolio Manager	3	3	9	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	-	Low	Low
	Project Management	9.2		Project Lead Project Portfolio Manager	5	2	10	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Assess lessons to be learned from previous projects	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1, 15.3									
	Project Management	8.13		Director of Education Project Portfolio Manager	3	4		Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Ensure robust testing including load	Low	Low
	Project Management	8.14		Director of HR Project Portfolio Manager	3	4	12	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Project Initiation stage to pay particular attention to project scope and breadth/reach of project	Low	Low
	Project Management		Organisation wide resourcing may impact project delivery	EMT & Project Portfolio Manager	3	4	12	Manage resources accordingly	Accept changes to planned delivery		Med	Med
	Project Management	8.18	Registration processes review project	Director of Operations & Project Portfolio Manager	3	3	9	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Assess lessons to be learned from previous projects	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Quality Management

Ref	Category	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
9	Quality Management.		Loss of ISO 9001:2008 Certification	Director of Operations, Head of Business Improvement	4	3	12	Regular & internal audits	QMS standards applied across HCPC	Management buy-in	Low	Low
			Links to 2.3, 10.3									
	Quality Management.	9.2	Employees non-compliance with established Standard Operating Proceedures	EMT	5	2	10			Extend ISO systems as required	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Registrations

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
10	Registration	10.1	Customer service failures	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	4	20	Accurate staffing level forecasts		Supporting automation infrastructure eg call centre systems, NetRegulate system enhancements, registration re- structure	Low	Low
			Links to 11.1, 11.2									
	Registration	10.2	Protracted service outage following a NetRegulate Registration system failure	Director of IT	5	3	15		Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements.	Annual IT Continuity tests	Low	Low
			Links to 5.1-5.3 and 17.1									
	Registration	10.3	Inability to detect fraudulent applications	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10		Policy and procedures supported by internal quality audits	Validation of submitted information, Education & ID checks	Low	Low
			Links to 9.1, 17.1 and 17.2									
	Registration	10.4	Backlogs of registration and applications	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	3	12	Continually refine model of accurate demand- forecasting, to predict employees required to prevent backlogs, and service failures	Process streamlining	Maintain required employee attendence and time keeping to service applicants and registrants	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1									
	Registration	10.5	Mistake in the Registration process leading to liability for compensation to Registrant or Applicant	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10	Audits by Registration Management, system	Professional indemnity insurance. Excess £2.5K. Limit £1M. (Doesn't cover misappropriation of funds)	Policy and procedures supported by ISO quality audits and process controls/checks	Low	Low
18	CPD	10.6 (18.1- 7.5)	CPD processes not effective	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	2	8		Appropriately trained members of the registrations team	Monitor and regulator feedback to the Education & Training Committee	Low	Low
18	CPD		CPD processes not effective Links to 1.1		4	2	8				ng	ng Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

HR

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
11	HR	11.1	Loss of key HCPC employees	Chair, Chief Executive and EMT	3	2	6		Departmental training (partial or full) and process documentation	-	Low	Low
	HR	11.2	High turnover of employees	HR Director	3	2	6	Remuneration and HR strategy	Regular performance reviews	Exit interview analysis	Low	Low
			Links to 11.3		-							
	HR	11.3	Inability to recruit suitable employees	HR Director	2	2	4	HR Strategy and adequate resourcing of the HR dept		Hire skilled temporary staff in the interim	Low	Low
			Links to 4.10, 6.1, 11.2, 11.8									
	HR	11.4	Lack of technical and managerial skills to delivery the strategy	Chief Executive	4	3	12	HR strategy and goals and objectives (buy in the skills v staff upskilling on the job v training)	Training needs analysis & training delivery.	Some projects or work initiatives delayed or outsourced	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1									
	HR	11.6	High sick leave levels	ЕМТ	2	3		Adequate staff (volume and type) including hiring temporary staff	Return to work interviews and sick leave monitoring	Regular progess reviews	Low	Low
	HR	11.7	Employee and ex-employee litigation	HR Director	4	3	12	Regular one on one sessions between manager and employee and regular performance reviews.	HR legislation and HR disciplinary policies	Employee surveys, Exit Interviews	Low	Low
	HR	11.8	Employer/employee inappropriate behaviour	HR Director	2	2	4	Whistle blowing policy, Code of Conduct & Behaviour		Employee Assistance programme	Low	Low
-			Links to 11.3 Non-compliance with						l	HR policies and Manager		
	HR	11.9	Employment legislation	HR Director	5	2	10	HR Strategy		training	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Legal

		Description	risk)	2015	mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
.egal	12.1	including Rules, Standards &	Chief Executive	5	3	15	Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium	Medium
		Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2									
.egal			Chief Executive	4	4	16	Legal advice and ISO	communications concerning legal basis and implimentation of the	-	Low	Low
		Jal 12.1	al 12.1 implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2	Implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2	Implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive 5 Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2	Implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive 5 3 Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Legal challenge to HCPC Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2	including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive 5 3 15 Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Legal challenge to HCPC Chief Executive 4 4 16	Indication Implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive 5 3 15 Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council. Implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Implimentation Standards & Guidance Implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive 4 4 16 Legal advice and ISO	Indication Instruction of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive 5 3 15 Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council. Appropriate legal advice sought Image: Instruction of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Image: Instruction of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Image: Instruction of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Appropriate legal advice sought Image: Instruction of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive 5 3 15 Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council. Appropriate legal advice sought Image: Instruction of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Image: Instruction of HSWPO Image: Instruction of HSWPO Image: Instruction of HSWPO Image: Image: Im	Ial Implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive 5 3 15 Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council. Appropriate legal advice sought - Implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Implimentation of HSWPO (Buidance Chief Executive 5 3 15 Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council. Appropriate legal advice sought - - Instance Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Implimentation of HSWPO (Chief Executive) Implimentation of HSWPO (Chief Executive) 4 16 Legal advice and ISO Pre-emptive and on-going communications concerning legal basis and implimentation of the communications concerning legal -	Ial Implementation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Chief Executive 5 3 15 Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council. Appropriate legal advice sought - Medium Image: Including Rules, Standards & Guidance Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Image: Including Rules, Standards & Guidance Image: Including Rules, Standards & Guidance

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Fitness to Practise

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
13	Fitness to Practise	13.1	Legal cost over-runs	FTP Director	4	4	16	Contractual and SLA arrangements with legal services providers(s)	Quality of operational procedures	Quality assurance mechanisms	Low	Low
			Links to 13.4, 15.2									
	Fitness to Practise	13.3	Tribunal exceptional costs	FTP Director	5	5	25	Quality of operational processes	Accurate and realistic forecasting	Quality of legal advice	Medium	Medium
	Fitness to Practise		Rapid increase in the number of allegations and resultant legal costs	FTP Director	4	4	16	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Medium	Medium
			Links to 13.1									
	Fitness to Practise	13.5	Witness non-attendance	FTP Director	4	2	8	Vulnerable witness provisions in the legislation	Witness support programme	Witness summons	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	13.6	Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees	FTP Director	5	5	25	Risk Assessment Processes	Adequate facilities security	Periodic use of security contractors and other steps	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	13.7	High Number of Registration Appeals	FTP Director & Director of Operations, Head of Registrations	3	5	15	Training and selection of Registration Assessors, so reasoned decisions are generated	Quality of operational processes	-	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	13.8	Backlog of FTP cases	FTP Director	3	4	12	Reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	Quality of operational processes	Low	Low
1												
	Fitness to Practise	13.9	Excessive cases per Case Manager workload	FTP Director	3	4	12	Reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	-	Low	Low
			13.2 moved to 12.2									
	Fitness to Practise		Protracted service outage following a Case Management System failure	Director of IT	5	3	15	Effective backup and recovery procedures	Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements	Annual IT continuity tests	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Policy & Standards

Re	f	Category	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
1	4	Policy & Standards	14.1	Incorrect process followed to establish stds/guidance/policy eg no relevant Council decision	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8	Legal advice and sign off sought on processes	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Quality mgt system & processes	Low	Low
				Links to 12.1									
		Policy & Standards	14.2	Inappropriate stds/guidance published eg stds are set at inappropriate level, are too confusing or are conflicting	Council/committees	4	1	4	Use of professional liaison groups, and Council and committees including members with appropriate expertise	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Consultation with stakeholders & legal advice sought	Low	Low
		Policy & Standards	14.3	Changing/evolving legal advice rendering previous work inappropriate	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8	Use of well-qualified legal professionals. Regular reviews.	Legal advice obtained in writing.	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team and others eg HR.	Low	Low
		Policy & Standards	14.4	Inadequate preparation for a change in legislation (Health Professions Order, or other legislation affecting HCPC)	EMT	3	1	3	EMT responsible for remaining up to date relationships with governemnt depts and agencies.	HCPC's 5 year planning process	Legal advice sought	Low	Low
		Policy & Standards			Policy & Stds Director HCPC Chair, Director of Council & Committee Services(?)	4	1	4	Skills and knowledge identified in work plan	Recruitment policy	Council Scrutiny of PLG result	Low	Low
-				Lnks to 4.10									
		Policy & Standards	14.6	Loss of Corporate Memory	Policy & Stds Director	3	3	9	Maintain appropriate records of project decisions	Appropriate hand over and succession planning	Department training	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Finance

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
15	Finance	15.1	Insufficient cash to meet commitments	Finance Director	5	1	5	Reserves policy specifies minimum cash level to be maintained throughout the year. Cash flow forecast prepared as part of annual budget and 5 year plan assesses whether policy minimum level will be met.	Regular cash forecasts and reviews during the year	Fee rises and DoH grant applications as required.	Low	Low
	Finance	15.2	Unexpected rise in operating expenses	EMT	4	1	4	Budget holder accountability for setting budgets and managing them. Timely monthly reporting and regular budget holder reviews held. EMT review of the monthly variances year to date.	Six and nine month reforecasts with spending plan revisions as feasible and appropriate. FTP costs mainly incurred towards the end of the lifecycle of a case, so increase in case pipeline would give early warning of rise in FTP costs.	Capped FTP legal case costs.	Low	Low
	Finance	15.3	Link to 13.1 Major Project Cost Over-runs	Project Lead / EMT	4	2	8	Effective project specification including creating decision points. Effective project management and timely project progress reporting (financial and non financial).	Project budgets have 15% contingency. Project exception reports including revised funding proposal is presented to EMT for approval.	EMT review of the project spendng variances to date	Low	Low
	Finance	15.7	Registrant Credit Card record fraud/theft	Finance Director	2	2	4	Compliance with PCI standards.	Limited access to card information	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £250k of loss	Low	Low
	Finance	15.9	Links to 5.3 Mismatch between Council goals & approved financial budgets	Chief Executive	4	2	8	Close and regular communication between the Executive, Council and its Committees.	Adequate quantification of the budgetary implications of proposed new initiatives	Use of spending prioritisation criteria during the budget process	Low	Low
	Finance	15.12	Links to 1.1 Unauthorised removal of assets (custody issue)	Facilities Manager & IT Director	2	2	4	Building security including electronic access control and recording and CCTV. IT asset labeling & asset logging (issuance to employees)	Fixed Asset register itemising assets. Job exit procedures (to recover HCPC laptops, blackberries, mobile phones etc). Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.	Computer asset insurance.	Low	Low
	Finance	15.13a	Theft or fraud	Finance Director	3	2	6	Well established effective processes, incl segregation of duties and review of actual costs vs budgets.	Regular audits; whistleblowing policy	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £250k of loss	Low	Low
	Finance	15.18	Incorporates aspects of previous n PAYE/NI/corporation tax compliance	sks 15.10 and 15.11 Finance Director	2	3	6	Effective payroll process management at 3rd party. Finance staff attend payroll & tax updates	Signed disclosure forms indicating tax category status for all Council and Committee members. Professional tax advice sought where necessary, including status of CCM's and partners	PAYE Settlement Agreement in place with HMRC relating to Category One Council and Committee members.	Low	Low
	Finance		Bank insolvency: permanent loss of deposits or temporary inability to access deposits	Finance Director	5	1	5	Investment policy sets "investment grade" minimum credit rating for HCPC's banks and requires diversification - cash spread across at least two banking licences			Low	Low
	Finance	15.21	Financial distress of key trade suppliers causes loss of business critical service	Finance Director	4	2	8	Financial health of new suppliers above OJEU threshold considered as part of OJEU PQQ process. Ongoing financial monitoring of key suppliers through Dun & Bradstreet reports	Escrow agreements	Alternative suppliers where possible, eg transcription services framework	Medium	Medium

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Finance

Re	ef	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
		Finance	15.22	Payroll process delay or failure	Finance Director	2	2	4	Outsourced to third party. Agreed monthly payroll process timetable (with slack built in). If process delayed, payment may be made by CHAPS (same day payment) or cheque.	Hard copy records held securely. Restricted system access.		Low	Low
		Finance	15.23	1st 2015	Chief Executive & Finance Director	4	5	20	Consider increase in fees	Legislative and operational adjustments		High	High
				Model not yet finalised by DH or P	SA								
		Finance	15.24	Failure to apply good procurement practice (contracts below OJEU threshold) leads to poor value for money and/or criticism	Finance Director & Procurement Mgr	2	2	4	Approved procurement policy. Legal advice on ISO9001 compliant process design.	Internal monitoring of Tendering and contract process use.	New suppliers process as "backstop" to failure.	Low	Low
		Finance	15.25	Failure to adhere to OJEU Procurement and Tendering requirements leads to legal challenge and costs	Finance Director & Procurement Mgr	4	3	12		Legal oversight of OJEU related material created by HCPC	Legal oversight of OJEU scoring and supplier communication	Low	Low
		Finance	15.26	Budgeting error leads to overcommitment of funds	Finance Director	4	2	8	PAST standard models. Payroll costs are budgeted for post by post. Cautious	Budgets are prepared by departments and then reviewed by Finance. Budgets for coming year baselined vs current year budget and forecast	Budgets are discussed/challenged by EMT at annual pre-budget setting review	Low	Low
		Finance	15.27	Payment error leads to irrecoverable funds	Finance Director	3	2	6		System controls over changing payee bank details	Payment signatory reviews of payment runs	Low	Low
	T												

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Pensions

Ref	Category	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
16	Pensions		Non compliance with pensions legislation	Finance Director and HR Director	3	2	6	compliance with pensions legislation including	HR and Finance staff briefed on regulations	Advice from payroll provider. Seek specialist pensions legal advice as required.	Low	Low
	Pensions	16.3	Increase in the Capita Flexiplan funding liability resulting from scheme valuation deficiency	Finance Director	3	2	6		Initial employer contributions to the Plan deficit were set on prudent basis	Monitor the performance of the Plan through periodic employers' meetings	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT Jan 2015

Information Security

Ref	f	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
17	,	Information Security	17.1	Loss of information from HCPC's electronic databases due to inappropriate removal by an employee	EMT, Director of IT and Director of Operations	5	3	15	Access is restricted to only the data that is necessary for the performance of the services. Employment contract includes Data Protection and Confidentiality Agreement	Adequate access control procedures maintained. System audit trails.	Laptop encryption. Remote access to our infrastructure using a VPN . Documented file encryption procedure	Low	Low
				Links to 5.3. Incl old 17.6									
		Information Security	17.2	HCPC Document & Paper record Data Security	EMT; Head of Business Improvement	5	3	15	Use of locked document destruction bins in each dept. Use of shredder machines for confidential record destruction in some depts e.g. Finance.	Data Protection agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Dept files stored onsite in locked cabinets. Training where appropriate (Employees & Partners)		Low	Low
-				Links to 15.7									
		Information Security	17.3	Unintended release of electronic or paper based information	EMT, Director of IT and Director of Operations	5	3	15	Access is restricted to only the data that is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods. Training where appropriate Employees & (Partners)	Data Processor agreements signed by the relevant suppliers.	Low	Low
		Information Security	17.4	Inappropriate data received by HCPC from third parties	Director of Ops, and Director of FTP	5	2	10	Read only, password protected access by a restricted no of FTP employees to electronic KN data.	Registrant payments taken in compliance with Payment Card Industry (PCI) Security standards ie with quarterly PCI testing.	Ensure third party data providers e.g. professional bodies provide the data password protected/encrypted/door to door courier/registered mail/sign in sign out as appropriate.	Low	Low
		Information Security	17.5	Loss of physical data despatched to and held by third parties for the delivery of their services	Director of Ops and Hd of Business Process Improv	5	3	15	Data Protection/Controller agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Use of electronic firewalls by suppliers.	Use of transit cases for archive boxes sent for scanning or copying and sign out procedures.	-	Low	Low
		Information Security	17.6	Loss of Registrant personal data by the registration system (NetRegulate) application support provider in the performance of their support services (specific risk).	Director of IT and Director of Operations,	5	3	15	Access to and export of personal data is restricted to only that which is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods.	Data processor side letter specifying obligations and granting a limited indemnity.	Low	Low
		Information Security	17.7	Incorrect risk assessment of Information Assets	Hd of Business Process Improv & Asset Owners	4	2	8	Identification and collection of information risk assets	Regular audit and review of information risk assets by Hd of BPI	Regular identification and review of information risk assets by Hd of BPI	Low	New
		NEW Information Security	17.8	Loss of personal data by an HCPC Contractor or Partner providing application support in the performance of their support services (specific risk).	Director of IT and Director of Operations, Director of Education, Director of Fitness to Practice	5	3	15	Access to and export of personal data is restricted to only that which is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods.		Low	1]

Appendix i

Glossary & Abbreviations

Term	Meaning
AGM	Annual General Meeting
CDT	Cross Directorate Team (formerly HCPC's Middle Management Group)
CPD	Continuing Professional Development
EEA	European Economic Area, = European Economic Union, plus Norway, Iceland, plus for our purposes Switzerland
EMT	HCPC's Executive Management Team
EU	European Economic Union (formerly known as the "Common Market")
Europa Quality Print	Supplier of print and mailing services to HCPC
FReM	Financial Reporting Manual
FTP	Fitness to Practise
GP	Grandparenting
HSWPO	Health and Social Work Professions Order (2001)
HR	Human Resources
HW	Abbreviation for computer hardware
Impact	The result of a particular event, threat or opportunity occuring. Scored between 1 least effect on HCPC and 5 maximum effect on HCPC.
ISO	International Standards Organisation (the global governing body for the Quality standards used by HCPC)
ISO 9001:2008	The ISO Quality Management Standard used by HCPC.
IT	Information Technology
Likelihood	Used to mean Probability of the event or issue occurring within the next 12 months
MIS	Management Information System
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NetRegulate	The bespoke computer application used to manage the application, registration and renewal processes, and publish the online register
OIC	Order in Council
OJEU	Official journal of the European Union
Onboarding	The process of bringing a new profession into statuatory regulation from HCPC's viewpoint
OPS	Operations
PSA	Formerly (CHRE), renamed Professional Standards Authority for Health and Social Care in the 2012 legislation.
PLG	Professional Liason Group
Probability	Likelihood, chance of occurring. Not the "mathematical" probability. Scored between 1 least likely and 5 most likely to occur within the next year.
QMS	Quality Management System, used to record and publish HCPC's agreed management processes
Risk	An uncertain event/s that could occur and have an impact on the achievement of objectives
Risk Owner	The person or entity that has been given the authority to manage a particular risk and is accountable for doing so.
Risk Score	Likelihood x Impact or Probability x Significance
SI	Statutory Instrument
Significance	Broadly similar to Impact
SSFS	Scheme Specific Funding Standard, a set of standards relating to pensions services
STD	Standards
SW	Abbreviation for computer software
VPN	Virtual Private Network, a method of securely accessing computer systems via the public internet

Appendix ii

IMPACT

	HCPC RISK MATRIX	Financial	Deputation							
	Public Protection	Financial	Reputation							
Î	Catastrophic 5 A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Catastrophic 5 Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Catastrophic 5 Incompetence/ maladministration or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship		10	15	20	25		
	Significant 4 A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Significant 4 Unfunded pressures £250,000 - £1 million	Significant 4 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.	4	8	12	16	20		
	Moderate 3 A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Moderate 3 Unfunded pressures £50,000 - £250,000	Moderate 3 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn	3	6	9	12	15		
	Minor 2 A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Minor 2 Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.	2	4	6	8	10			
	Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1							
	A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Unfunded pressures over £10,000	Event that will lead to public criticism by externa stakeholders as anticipated.	1	2	3	4	5		
	KEY		/	Negligible1	Rare 2	Unlikely 3	Possible 4	Probable 5		
	>11 High Risk: Urgent action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime I of the strategy.	May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	Strategic	
	6-10 Medium Risk: Some action required	/		Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	Likely to happen in the life- cycle of the programme or project.	Likely to occur in the life- cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	Programme / Project	
	<5 Low Risk: Ongoing monitoring required			Extremely infrequent – uniikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Does not happen often - once every six months.	May well happen on a monthly basis.	May well happen on a weekly basis.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.	Operational	

LIKELIHOOD

->

RISK MATRIX DEFINITIONS

IMPACT TYPES

Public Protection	Financial	Reputation					
Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5					
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministratior or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship					
Significant 4	Significant 4	Significant 4					
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £50,000 £250k - £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.					
Moderate 3	Moderate 3	Moderate 3					
A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £8,000 £50,000 - £250,000	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn					
Minor 2	Minor 2	Minor 2					
A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Unfunded pressures over £2,000 between £20,000-£50,000	Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.					
Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1					
A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Unfunded pressures over £1,000 Unfunded pressures over £10,000	Event that will lead to public criticism by external stakeholders as anticipated.					

LIKELIHOOD AREAS

Strategic	Programme / Project	Operational					
Probable 5	Probable 5	Probable 5					
"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	Likely to occur in the life-cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.					
Possible 4	Possible 4	Possible 4					
Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	Likely to happen in the life-cycle of the programme or project.	May well happen on a weekly basis.					
Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3					
May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	May well happen on a monthly basis.					
Rare 2	Rare 2	Rare 2					
Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	Does not happen often - once every six months.					
Negligible1	Negligible1	Negligible1					
Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.					

LIKELIHOOD

HCPC Strategic Objectives 2009 - 2015

code	
SO1.GG	Objective 1: Good governance
	To maintain, review and develop good corporate governance
SO2.EBP	Objective 2: Efficient business processes
	To maintain, review and develop efficient business processes throughout the organisation
SO3.Com	Objective 3: Communication
	To increase understanding and awareness of regulation amongst all stakeholders
SO4.Evid	Objective 4: Build the evidence base of regulation
	To ensure that the organisation's work is evidence based
SO5.IPA	Objective 5: Influence the policy agenda
	To be proactive in influencing the wider regulatory policy agenda
SO6.HmCty	Objective 6: Engagement in the four countries
	To ensure that our approach to regulation takes account of differences between the four countries

HCPC has an **averse** appetite to risk in that we;

- a. Identify all relevant risks
- b. Mitigate those risks to an appropriate level
- c. Invest mitigation resources in proportion to the level of risk

HCPC draft Risk Assurance mapping

	increasing Assurance															
	AREA C. Management Control & Reporting				AREA B. Functional AREA A Independent review / Assurance / Regulatory oversight oversight / Governance											
Key Business Risk areas Assurance Map	Systems Controls	Operational Risk Management	Inter-departmental Quality Assurance	Near Miss Reporting	EMT	Council	Audit Committee	Internal Auditors	External Auditors (NAO)	External Legal Advice	Quality Management System ISO9001	Information Security Management ISO27001	PSA	Penetration Testing	PCI-DSS	Parliamentary oversight
Strategic risks						x	x	x		x						x
Communications		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x			
Continuing Professional Development	x	x	x	x	x		x			x						
Corporate Governance			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x			x
Information Security	x	x	x	x	x		x	x			x	x		x	x	
Education	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			
Finance	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x
Fitness to Practise	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			x
HR	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x				
Information Technology	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		
Legal				x	x	x	x	x		x			x			x
Operations	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		x			
Partner	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x	x			
Pensions				x	x	x	x	x		x						
Policy & Standards			x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			x
Project Management	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x				
Quality Management	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x		x			
Registration	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			