DOCUMENT CONTROL: Reference Risk Treatment Plan. Version Feb 2015 Version 1.0 Issue Date: 09/03/2015 Classification: Public

Risk Register & Risk Treatment Plan

Marc Seale, Chief Executive & Registrar Report to Audit Committee, (Feb 2015)



Jan 2015 Risk Assessment

Contents	Page
Contents page	4
Top 10 HCPC risks	5
Changes since last published	6
Strategic risks	7
Operations risks	8
Communications risks	10
Corporate Governance risks	11
Information Technology risks	12
Partner risks	13
Education risks	14
Project Management risks	15
Quality Management risks	16
Registration risks	17
HR risks	18
Legal risks	19
Fitness to Practise risks	20
Policy & Standards risks	21
Finance risks	22
Pensions risks	24
Information Security risks	25
Appendix i Glossary and Abbreviations	26
Appendix ii HCPC Risk Matrix	27
HCPC Risk Matrix terms detail	28
Appendix iii HCPC Strategic Objectives & Risk Appetite	29
Appendix iv HCPC Assurance Mapping	30

				"Top 10" Ris	KS (High & Medium	n after mitigation)					ASSESSM			His	toric R	isk Sco	ores]
	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	CURRENT RISK SCORE	2	2014	2014	Sept 2013 Risk	Feb 2013 Risk	Sept 2012 Risk	131119/	2012		2011	Sept 2010 Risk	Feb 2010 Risk
15.23	PSA full cost recovery model places significant financial pressure on HCPC from August 2015 onwards (pre-mit 20)	Chief Executive & Finance Director	Consider increase in fees	Legislative and operational adjustments		High		High	High	Low								
2.7	Interuption to electricity supply (pre-mit 16) ISMS RISK	Facilities Manager	Relocate to other buildings on site	If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan	-	High		High	High	High	High	High		High	High	High	High	
13.3	Tribunal exceptional costs (pre-mit 25)	FTP Director	Quality of operational processes	Accurate and realistic forecasting	Quality of legal advice	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	High		High	High	High	High	
1.5	Loss of reputation (pre-mit 20)	Chief Executive	Quality of governance procedures	Quality of operational procedures	Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
2.11	Basement flooding (pre-mit 16)	Facilities Manager	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress	-	-	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
13.4	Rapid increase in number of allegations and resultant legal costs (pre-mit 16)	FTP Director	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium							
12.1	Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance (pre-mit 15)	Chief Executive	Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	

Risks listed in order of CURRENT RISK SCORE, then PRE_MITIGATION SCORE

DOCUMENT CONTROL: Reference Risk Treatment Plan. Version Feb 2015 Version 1.0 Issue Date: 09/03/2015 Classification: Public

Changes since the previous iteration of HCPC's Risk Register

Category	Ref#	Description	Nature of change in this version
All	All	Update all dates to latest iteration of risk register	
Project Management	8.2	Failure to regulate new profession	update likelhood
	8.13	Failure to build a sytem to the Education Depts requirements	update likelhood
	8.14	Failure to deliver a sytem to the HR & Partners Depts requirements	update likelhood
	8.19	Failure to build a sytem to the Registration Depts requirements	New project
	8.20	Failure to successfully replace the Lotus Notes system eith Microsoft Outlook	New project
Finance	15.23	PSA fees to commence August 2015	Description updated following DH announcement
Information Security	17.1-6	Update descriptive wording of individual risks	
Information Security	17.8	Failure to maintain accurate risk assessments	from ISO27001 process
			Add Risk Appetite to Stratgic Objectives page

Overview of Risk Management and Risk Treatment process

Throughout the year exisiting risks are continually monitored and assessed by Risk Owners against Likelihood, and Impact on HCPC, the effectiveness of mitigations and the levels of residual risk.

Future risks are also documented, evaluated and monitored against the same criteria.

Every six months these changes and additions to risks are updated in the risk register and formally documented by the Director of Operations or Head of Business Process Improvement, and the Top Ten Risks (High & Medium only after mitigation) are recorded.

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Strategic

Rei	Ca	category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
1	St	trategic		1.1	HCPC fails to deliver SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill	Council	5	1	5	Delivery of HCPC Strategy	Publication of Annual Report	-	Low	Low
					Links to 7.1-7.4, 18.1, 8.1-8.3, 10.4, 10.5, 11.4, 15.9									
	St	itrategic		1.2	Unexpected change in UK legislation	Chief Executive	5	2	10	Relationship with Government depts	Enviromental scanning	-	Low	Low
					Links to 2.2, 15.14									
	St	itrategic	I	1.3	Incompatible SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill and EU legislation	Chief Executive	1	3		Monitoring of EU directives e.g. Professional Qualifications Directive	Membership of Alliance of UK Health Regulators on Europe (lobby group)	-	Low	Low
	St	itrategic		1.4	Failure to maintain a relationship with PSA (formerly CHRE)	Chief Executive & Chair	5	1	5	HCPC Chair and Chief Executive relationship with PSA	Communications	-	Low	Low
	St	strategic	I	1.5	Loss of reputation	Chief Executive & Chair	5	4	20	Quality of governance procedures		Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium	Medium
	St	itrategic		1.6	Failure to abide by current Equality & Diversity legislation	Chief Executive	4	2	8	Equality & Diversity scheme	employees implimentation of scheme	Equality & Diversity working group	Low	Low
	St	Strategic		1.7	Failure to maintain HCPC culture	Chief Executive	5	2	10	Behaviour of all employees	Induction of new employees	Internal communication	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Operations

Re	f Catego	jory	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
2	Operati	tions	I	2.1	Inability to occupy premises or use interior equipment	Facilities Manager	4	2	8	Invoke Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity plan	Commercial combined insurance cover (fire, contents, terrorism etc)	-	Low	Low
	Operati	tions		2.2	Rapid increase in registrant numbers Links to 1.2. 13.4	Chief Executive and EMT	3	5	15	Scaleable business processes and scalable IT systems to support them	Influence the rate at which new professions are regulated	-	Low	Low
	Operati	tions		2.3	Unacceptable service standards	Director of Operations	5	4	20	ISO 9001 Registration, process maps, well documented procedures & BSI audits	Hire temporary employees to clear service backlogs	Detailed workforce plan to match workload.	Low	Low
	Operati	tions		2.4	Links to 9.1, 10.4 Inability to communicate via postal services (e.g. Postal strikes)	Facilities Manager	3	3	9	Use of other media including Website, newsletter & email and courier services	Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan	Collection of >80% income fees by DD	Medium	Medium
	Operati	tions		2.5	Public transport disruption leading to inability to use Park House	Facilities Manager & Head Bus Proc	4	5	20	Contact employees via Disaster Recovery Plan process	Make arrangements for employees to work at home if possible	-	Low	Low
	Operati	tions	I	2.6	Inability to accommodate HCPC employees Links to 5.2	Facilities Manager	4	3	12	Ongoing Space planning	Additional premises purchase or rented	-	Low	Low
	Operati	tions	I	2.7	Interruption to electricity supply	Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Relocate to other buildings on site	If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan	-	High	High
	Operati	tions		2.8	Interruption to gas supply	Facilities Manager	1	2	2	Temporary heaters to impacted areas			Low	Low
	Operati	tions		2.9	Interruption to water supply	Facilities Manager	2	2	4	Reduce consumption	Temporarily reduce headcount to align with legislation	Invoke DR plan if over 24 hrs	Low	Low
	Operati	tions		2.10	Telephone system failure causing protracted service outage	Director of IT	4	3	12	Support and maintenance contract for hardware and software of the ACD and PABX	Backup of the configuration for both the ACD and PABX	Diverse routing for the physical telephone lines from the two exchanges with different media types	Low	Low
	Operati	tions	I	2.11	Basement flooding	Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress	-	-	Medium	Medium
	Operati	tions			Significant disruption to UK transport network by environmental extremes e.g snow, rain, ash; civil unrest or industrial acton; disrupts planned external activities	Director of Operations & Head Bus Proc	3	2	6	Use of alternate networks	Use of video or teleconferencing facility to achieve corum	Invoke Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity plan	Low	Low
	Operati	tions		2.14 (formerl y11.5)	Health & Safety of employees	Chief Executive & Facilities Manager	5	4	20	Health & Safety Training, policies and procedures	H&S Assessments	Personal Injury & Travel insurance	Low	Low
					Links to 4.9, 6.3					l	1	1		

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Operations

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II		RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
	Operations		2.15	Expenses abuse by Partners not prevented	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	1	2	2	Clear and appropriate Partner Expenses policy		Planned travel supplier only policy in near future	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Communications

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
3	Communications		3.1	Failure to inform public Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Delivery of communications strategy.	Delivery of aspects of communications workplan, specifically public information campaigns, multi media advetising, distribution of public information materials, and web.	-	Low	Low
	Communications		3.2	Loss of support from Key Stake holders including professional bodies, employers or government	Director of Comms	5	3	15	Delivery of communications strategy, supporting the HCPC strategy	Delivery of aspects of communications work plan, specifically stakeholder activities	Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
				Links to 1.5									
	Communications			Inability to inform stakeholders following crisis	Director of Comms	4	1	4	Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan	Up to date Comms DR plan available	-	Low	Low
	Communications			Failure to inform Registrants Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Delivery of communications strategy	Delivery of aspects of communications workplan, specifically, Meet the HCPC events, campaigns, Registrant Newsletter, Profesional media and conference attendance. Publications and web.	Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
	Communications		3.5	Publication of material not approved for release	Director of Comms	4	2	8	Delivery of communications plan	Adherence to operational plans (Social Media planner)	-	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Corporate Governance

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
4	Corporate Governance		4.1	Council inability to make decisions	Director of Council & Committee Services, & Chair	3	1	3	Regular meetings, agendas and clear lines of accountability between Council and committees	Well researched and drafted decision papers at meetings	Attendance by external professionals as required	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.2	Council members conflict of interest	Chair	4	4	16	Disclosure of members' interests to the Secretariat and ongoing Council & committee agenda item	Annual reminder to update Register of Interests	Member induction and training	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.3	Poor decision-making eg conflicting advice or conflicting advice and decisions	Chair	4	1	4	Well-researched & drafted decision papers, Clear lines of accountability and scheme of delegation	Chair's involvement in the induction and relevant training of members	Attendance by external professionals, as required.	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.4	Failure to meet Council/Committee quorums / failure to make quorate decisions Links to 4.1	Director of Council & Committee Services	4	3	12	Clear communication of expectations of Council members' duties upfront	Adequate processes notifying Council & committee members of forthcoming meetings prior to meeting icluding confirmation of attendance		Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.5	Members' poor performance	Chair	4	1	4	Appointment against competencies	Annual appraisal of Council members	Removal under Sch 1, Para 9(1)(f) of the HSWPO 2001	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.6	Poor performance by the Chair	Council	5	1	5	Appointment against competencies	Power to remove the Chair under Sch 1, Article 12(1) C of the HSWPO 2001	-	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.7	Poor performance by Chief Executive	Chair	5	1	5	Performance reviews and regular "one to ones" with the Chair	Contract of Employment	-	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.8	Improper financial incentives offered to Council members/employees	Chair and Chief Executive	4	2	8	Gifts & Inducements policy	Council member code of conduct	Induction training re:adherence to Nolan principles & Bribery Act 2010	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.9	Failure to ensure the Health & Safety of Council Members ? Should this be HCPC wide? Links to 6.3, 11.5	Director of Council & Committee Services , Facilities Manager & Finance Director	4	2	8	Safety briefing at start of each Council or Committee meeting.	H&S information on Council Extranet	Personal Injury and Travel insurance	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.10	Member recruitment problem (with the requisite skills)	Chair	4	2	8	Maintenance of a detailed role description for these positional applicants on to HCPC or its committees	Use of skills matrix in recruitment exercise	Induction of panel members	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.11	Links to 6.1, 11.13 Expense claim abuse by members	Director of Council & Committee Services	4	2	8	Members Code of Conduct (public office)	Clear and comprehensive Council agreed policies posted on the Council member Extranet and made clear during induction	Budget holder review and authorisation procedures	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.12	Operationalise Section 60 legislation	Council	5	2	10	Scheme of delegation	MIS	EMT & CDT	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.13	Failure to comply with DPA 1998 or FOIA 2000, leading to ICO action	Director of Council & Committee Services	3	3	9	Legal advice	Clear ISO processes	Department training	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	I	4.15	Failure to adhere to the requirements of the Bribery Act 2010	Chair, & Director of Council & Committee Services	4	2	8	Suite of policies and processes related to the Bribery Act	Oversight of HCPC processes that could be vulnerable to bribery, by EMT and Internal Audit	Compliant processes designed for HCPC as a matter of course	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.16	PSA fails to recommend appointment of Council members to the Privy Council	Director of Council & Committee Services	1	5	5	Sign off of high level process by Council	PSA comments on advance notice of intent acted on appropriately	PSA informed of any deviations from agreed process at earliest opportunity	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.17	Failure to meet requirements of the constitution order	Director of Council & Committee Services	3	1	3	Scrutiny of advance notice of intent	Targeted advertising strategy	-	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Information Technology

R	əf	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
	5	IT	I	5.1		Director of IT	4	5	20	Anti-virus software deployed at several key points.Application of security patches in a timely manner	Adherence to IT policy, procedures and training	Regular externally run security penetration tests.	Low	Low
		п	I	5.2	(Hard/SoftWare)	Director of IT	2	2		Delivery of the IT strategy including the refresh of technology.	Employ small core of mainstream technology with recognised support and maintenance agreements	Accurately record technology assets.	Low	Low
		π	I	5.3	Links to 2.6, 10.2 Fraud committed through IT services	Director of IT	3	3	9	Appropriate and proportionate access restrictions to business data. System audit trails.		Regular externally run security tests.	Low	Low
		π	I		Links to 10.2 and 17.1 Failure of IT Continuity Provision	Director of IT	4	3	12	Annual IT continuity tests	IT continuity plan is reviewed when a service changes or a new service is added	Appropriate and proportionate technical solutions are employed. IT technical staff appropriately trained.	Low	Low
		Π	I	5.5	Malicious damage from unauthorised access	Director of IT	4	5	20	Security is designed into the IT architecture, using external expert consultancy where necessary	Regular externally run security penetration tests.	Periodic and systematic proactive security reviews of the infrastructure. Application of security patches in a timely manner. Physical access to the IT infrastructure restricted and controlled.	Low	Low
		п	I	5.6	Data service disruption (via utility action)	Director of IT	5	1	5	Redundant services	Diverse routing of services where possible	Appropriate service levels with utility providers and IT continuity plan	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Partners

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
6	Partners		6.1	Inability to recruit and retain suitable Partners	Partner Manager	3	3	9	Targetted recruitment strategy.		Efficient and effective support and communication from the Partner team.	Low	Low
	Partners		6.2	Links to 4.10, 11.3, 7.3, 18.1 Incorrect interpretation of law and/or SI's resulting in PSAHSE review	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	2	4	8	Training	Legal Advice	Regular appraisal system	Low	Low
	Partners		6.3	Health & Safety of Partners	Partner Manager	3	2	6	H&S briefing at start of any HCPC sponsored event.	Liability Insurance	-	Low	Low
	Partners		6.4	Links to 4.9, 11.5 Partners poor performance	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	4	3	12	Regular training	Regular appraisal system	Partner Complaints Process &Partner Code of Conduct	Low	Low
	Partners		6.5	Incorrect interpretation of HSWPO in use of Partners	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	3	2	6	Correct selection process and use of qualified partners	Daily Email notificaton of partner registrant lapse	-	Low	Low
	Partners		6.6	Adequate number and type of partner roles	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	3	2	6	Regular review of availability of existing pool of	requirements to ensure that they are budgetted for.	Staggered partner agreements across professions for Panel Member and Panel Chair to ensure adequate supply in line with the eight year rule.	Low	Low
	Partners		6.7	User departments using non- active partners	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	3	3	9	Notification of partner resignations to user departments.	Current partner lists available to user departments on shared drive.	-	Low	Low
	Partners		6.8	Expense claim abuse by Partners	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	2	2	4	Budget holder review and authorisation process		Challenge of non standard items by, Finance department and Partner Department	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Education

Ret	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
7	Education		7.1	Failure to detect low education providers standards	Director of Education	4	2	8	Operational processes (approval, monitoring and complaints about an approved programme)	Regular training of employees and visitors	Memorandums of understandings with other regulators (e.g. CQC and Care Councils)	Low	Low
	Education	1	7.2	Links to 1.1 , 4.3, 6.4 Education providers refusing visits or not submitting data	Director of Education	3	2	6	Legal powers (HSWPO 2001)	Delivery of Education Dpt supporting activities as documented in regular work plan	-	Low	Low
	Education		7.3	Links to 1.1 Inability to conduct visits and monitoring tasks	Director of Education	4	2	8	Adequate resourcing, training and visit scheduling	Approvals & monitoring processes	Temporary staff hire to backfill or clear work backlogs	Low	Low
				Links to 1.1, 6.1, 11.2 & 11.3									
	Educatior	1	7.4	Loss of support from Education Providers	Chief Executive or Director of Education	5	2	10		Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Publications, Newsletters, website content, inclusion in consultations and relevant PLGs, consultations with education providers	Low	Low
				Links to 1.1, 14.2									
	Education	1	7.5	Education database failure	Director of IT	3	2	6		In house and third party skills to support system	Included in future DR/BC tests	Low	Low
	Education		7.6	Loss or significant change to funding, commissioning and placement opportunities for approved programmes	Director of Education	3	2	6	Operational processes (approval, monitoring and complaints about an approved programme)	Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Regular training of employees and visitors	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Project Management

Rei	Cate	legory	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the on-going risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
8		oject Igement		8.1	Fee change processes not operational by required date	Director of Finance Project Portfolio Manager	3	3	9	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	-	Low	Low
		oject Igement		8.2	Links to 1.1, 15.3 Failure to regulate a new profession or a post-registration qualification as stipulated by legislation	Project Lead Project Portfolio Manager	5	2	10	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Assess lessons to be learned from previous projects	Low	Low
_					Links to 1.1, 15.3									
		oject Igement		8.13	Failure to build a system to the the Education departments requirements	Director of Education Project Portfolio Manager	3	4		Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process		Ensure robust testing including load	Low	Low
		oject igement			Failure to deliver a system to the HR & Partners departments requirements	Director of HR Project Portfolio Manager	3	4		Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Project Initiation stage to pay particular attention to project scope and breadth/reach of project	Low	Low
		oject Igement	ı	8.17	Organisation wide resourcing may impact project delivery	EMT & Project Portfolio Manager	3	4	12	Manage resources accordingly	Accept changes to planned delivery		Med	Med
		oject Igement		8.18	Registration processes review project	Director of Operations & Project Portfolio Manager	3	3	9	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Assess lessons to be learned from previous projects	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Quality Management

R	ef	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
	9	Quality Management.			Loss of ISO 9001:2008 Certification	Director of Operations, Head of Business Improvement	4	3	12	Regular & internal audits	QMS standards applied across HCPC	Management buy-in	Low	Low
		Quality Management.	I	9.2	Links to 2.3, 10.3 Employees non-compliance with established Standard Operating Proceedures	EMT	5	2	10			Extend ISO systems as required	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Registrations

Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
Registration		10.1		Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	4	20	Accurate staffing level forecasts	Adequate staff resourcing & training	systems, NetRegulate system enhancements, registration re-	Low	Low
Registration		10.2	Protracted service outage following a NetRegulate	Director of IT	5	3	15	Effective backup and recovery procedures	Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements.	Annual IT Continuity tests	Low	Low
			Links to 5.1-5.3 and 17.1									
Registration				Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10	Financial audits, system audit trails			Low	Low
			Links to 9.1, 17.1 and 17.2									
Registration			Backlogs of registration and applications	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	3	12	Continually refine model of accurate demand- forecasting, to predict employees required to prevent backlogs, and service failures	Process streamlining	attendence and time keeping	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1									
Registration		10.5	process leading to liability for compensation to Registrant or	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10	Audits by Registration Management, system audit trails, external auditors	£2.5K. Limit £1M. (Doesn't cover	supported by ISO quality audits	Low	Low
CPD		10.6 (18.1- 7.5)	CPD processes not effective	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	2	8	Well documented processes	Appropriately trained members of the registrations team	Monitor and regulator feedback to the Education & Training Committee	Low	Low
	Registration Registration Registration Registration Registration	Category Risks Registration	CategoryRisksRef #Registration10.1Registration10.2Registration10.3Registration10.3Registration10.4Registration10.5CPD10.6(10.6(10.7)	Category Risks Ref # Description Registration 10.1 Customer service failures Image: Registration 10.1 Customer service failures Registration 10.2 Protracted service outage following a NetRegulate Registration sylem failure Registration 10.3 Inability to detect fraudulent applications Registration 10.3 Inability to detect fraudulent applications Registration 10.4 Backlogs of registration and applications Registration 10.4 Bistake in the Registration for compensation to Registration or Applicant Registration 10.5 CPD (10.6	ISMS CategoryRef #Descriptionperson responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)Registration10.1Customer service failuresDirector of Operations, Head of RegistrationRegistration10.1Customer service failuresDirector of Operations, Head of RegistrationRegistration10.2Protracted service outage following a NetRegulate Registration system failureDirector of Operations, Head of RegistrationRegistration10.3Inability to detect fraudulent applicationsDirector of Operations, Head of RegistrationRegistration10.4Backlogs of registration and applicationsDirector of Operations, Head of RegistrationRegistration10.4Backlogs of registration and applicationsDirector of Operations, Head of RegistrationRegistration10.5Nistake in the Registration process leading to liability for compensation to Registration ApplicantDirector of Operations, Head of RegistrationCPD10.6 (18.1- 7.5)CPD processes not effectiveDirector of Operations, Head of Registration	ISMS CategoryRef #Descriptionperson responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing mitigations Jan 2015Registration10.1Customer service failuresDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5Registration10.2Links to 11.1, 11.2	Likelihood before managing the nongoing minaging the nongoing 	LikelihoodLikelihoodRef #Descriptionperson responsible for managing the negoting risk)Impact before mitigations JanLikelihood before mitigations Jan 2015Risk Score = Impact x LikelihoodRegistration10.1Customer service failuresDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5420Registration10.2Customer service failuresDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5315Registration10.2Protracted service outage following a NetRegulate Registration system failureDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5210Registration10.3Inability to detect fraudulent applicationsDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5210Registration10.4Backlogs of registration and applicationsDirector of Operations, Head of Registration4312Registration10.5Mistake in the Registration process leading to liability for compensation to RegistrationDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5210CPD $\binom{10.6}{(18.1, 7.5)}$ CPD processes not effectiveDirector of Operations, Head of Registration428	ISMS CategoryRefRefDescriptionDescriptionPerson responsible for managing the ongoing risk)LikelihoodRisk Score = impact x 2015Risk Score = impact x LikelihoodRisk Score = Impact x Likelihood <th< th=""><th>ISMS CategoryRef #Image person response blo for managing the ongoing mitigations Jan risk)Image to person mitigations Jan 2015Risk Score = mitigations Jan 2015Risk Score = mitigations Jan United ViewMitigation IMitigation IRegistration10.1Customer service failuresDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5420Accurate staffing level forecastsAdequate staff resourcing & trainingRegistration10.1Links to 11.1.1.2Image to person response block registrationDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5315Effective backup and recovery procedures to person response block registrationMitigation IRegistration10.2Inable to 11.1.1.2Director of Operations, Head of Registration5210Financial audits, system audit trailsPolicy and procedures support opticates for oce system elements.Registration10.3Inable to 11.1.1.2Image to the optication10Financial audits, system audit trailsPolicy and procedures supported by internal quality auditsRegistration10.3Inable to 1.1.1.1.2Image to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationRegistration10.3Inable to 1.1.1.1.2Image to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationRegistration10.3Inable to 1.1.1.1.2Image to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationRegistration10.4<th>Listed CategoryNoNoPerson responsible or managing the ongoing insk some insk 2019Index betwee managing the ongoing insk 2019Index betwee managing the ongoing</th><th>Ibbs No No Person responsible for managing the copies Impact bors intigations Ibis limits to be appert x Ibis limits</th></th></th<>	ISMS CategoryRef #Image person response blo for managing the ongoing mitigations Jan risk)Image to person mitigations Jan 2015Risk Score = mitigations Jan 2015Risk Score = mitigations Jan United ViewMitigation IMitigation IRegistration10.1Customer service failuresDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5420Accurate staffing level forecastsAdequate staff resourcing & trainingRegistration10.1Links to 11.1.1.2Image to person response block registrationDirector of Operations, Head of Registration5315Effective backup and recovery procedures to person response block registrationMitigation IRegistration10.2Inable to 11.1.1.2Director of Operations, Head of Registration5210Financial audits, system audit trailsPolicy and procedures support opticates for oce system elements.Registration10.3Inable to 11.1.1.2Image to the optication10Financial audits, system audit trailsPolicy and procedures supported by internal quality auditsRegistration10.3Inable to 1.1.1.1.2Image to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationRegistration10.3Inable to 1.1.1.1.2Image to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationRegistration10.3Inable to 1.1.1.1.2Image to the opticationImage to the opticationImage to the opticationRegistration10.4 <th>Listed CategoryNoNoPerson responsible or managing the ongoing insk some insk 2019Index betwee managing the ongoing insk 2019Index betwee managing the ongoing</th> <th>Ibbs No No Person responsible for managing the copies Impact bors intigations Ibis limits to be appert x Ibis limits</th>	Listed CategoryNoNoPerson responsible or managing the ongoing insk some insk 2019Index betwee managing the ongoing insk 2019Index betwee managing the ongoing	Ibbs No No Person responsible for managing the copies Impact bors intigations Ibis limits to be appert x Ibis limits

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

HR

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
11	HR		11.1		Chair, Chief Executive and EMT	3	2			Departmental training (partial or full) and process documentation	-	Low	Low
	HR		11.2	High turnover of employees	HR Director	3	2	6	Remuneration and HR strategy	Regular performance reviews	Exit interview analysis	Low	Low
				Links to 11.3									
	HR		11.3	Inability to recruit suitable employees	HR Director	2	2		HR Strategy and adequate resourcing of the HR dept	Careful specification of recruitment adverts and interview panel selection	Hire skilled temporary staff in the interim	Low	Low
				Links to 4.10, 6.1, 11.2, 11.8									
	HR		11.4	Lack of technical and managerial skills to delivery the strategy	Chief Executive	4	3		HR strategy and goals and objectives (buy in the skills v staff upskilling on the job v training)	Training needs analysis & training delivery.	Some projects or work initiatives delayed or outsourced	Low	Low
				Links to 1.1									
	HR		11.6	High sick leave levels	ЕМТ	2	3			Return to work interviews and sick leave monitoring	Regular progess reviews	Low	Low
	HR		11.7	Employee and ex-employee litigation	HR Director	4	3	12	Regular one on one sessions between manager and employee and regular performance reviews.	HR legislation and HR disciplinary policies	Employee surveys, Exit Interviews	Low	Low
	HR	I	11.8	Employer/employee inappropriate behaviour	HR Director	2	2		Whistle blowing policy, Code of Conduct & Behaviour	Other HR policy and procedures	Employee Assistance programme	Low	Low
<u> </u>													
	HR		11.9	Employment legislation	HR Director	5	2	10	HR Strategy		HR policies and Manager training	Low	Low
		1		Links to 11.3 Non-compliance with	HR Director			4	Behaviour	Other HR policy and procedures	programme HR policies and Manager		

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Legal

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation 1	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
12	Legal		12.1	Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance	Chief Executive	5	3	15	Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium	Medium
				Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2									
	Legal	ı	12.2	Legal challenge to HCPC operations	Chief Executive	4	4	16	Legal advice and ISO	Pre-emptive and on-going communications concerning legal basis and implimentation of the HSWPO	-	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Fitness to Practise

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
13	Fitness to Practise		13.1	Legal cost over-runs	FTP Director	4	4	16	Contractual and SLA arrangements with legal services providers(s)	Quality of operational procedures	Quality assurance mechanisms	Low	Low
				Links to 13.4, 15.2									
	Fitness to Practise		13.3	Tribunal exceptional costs	FTP Director	5	5	25	Quality of operational processes	Accurate and realistic forecasting	Quality of legal advice	Medium	Medium
	Fitness to Practise		13.4	Rapid increase in the number of allegations and resultant legal costs	FTP Director	4	4	16	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Medium	Medium
				Links to 13.1									
	Fitness to Practise		13.5	Witness non-attendance	FTP Director	4	2	8	Vulnerable witness provisions in the legislation	Witness support programme	Witness summons	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	I	13.6	Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees	FTP Director	5	5	25	Risk Assessment Processes	Adequate facilities security	Periodic use of security contractors and other steps	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise		13.7	High Number of Registration	FTP Director & Director of Operations, Head of Registrations	3	5	15	Training and selection of Registration Assessors, so reasoned decisions are generated	Quality of operational processes	-	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise		13.8	Backlog of FTP cases	FTP Director	3	4	12	Reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	Quality of operational processes	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise		13.9	Excessive cases per Case Manager workload	FTP Director	3	4	12	Reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	-	Low	Low
				13.2 moved to 12.2									
	Fitness to Practise	I	13.10	Protracted service outage following a Case Management System failure	Director of IT	5	3	15	Effective backup and recovery procedures	Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements	Annual IT continuity tests	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Policy & Standards

Re	əf	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
1	4	Policy & Standards		14.1	Incorrect process followed to establish stds/guidance/policy eg no relevant Council decision	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8	Legal advice and sign off sought on processes	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Quality mgt system & processes	Low	Low
					Links to 12.1									
		Policy & Standards		14.2	Inappropriate stds/guidance published eg stds are set at inappropriate level, are too confusing or are conflicting	Council/committees	4	1		Use of professional liaison groups, and Council and committees including members with appropriate expertise	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Consultation with stakeholders & legal advice sought	Low	Low
		Policy & Standards			Changing/evolving legal advice rendering previous work inappropriate	Policy & Stds Director	4	2		Use of well-qualified legal professionals. Regular reviews.	Legal advice obtained in writing.	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team and others eg HR.	Low	Low
		Policy & Standards		14.4	Inadequate preparation for a change in legislation (Health Professions Order, or other legislation affecting HCPC)	EMT	3	1	3	EMT responsible for remaining up to date relationships with governemnt depts and agencies.	HCPC's 5 year planning process	Legal advice sought	Low	Low
		Policy & Standards		14.5	PLG member recruitment without requisite skills and knowledge	Policy & Stds Director HCPC Chair, Director of Council & Committee Services(?)	4	1	4	Skills and knowledge identified in work plan	Recruitment policy	Council Scrutiny of PLG result	Low	Low
\vdash	+				Lnks to 4.10									
		Policy & Standards		14.6	Loss of Corporate Memory	Policy & Stds Director	3	3	9	Maintain appropriate records of project decisions	Appropriate hand over and succession planning	Department training	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Finance

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
15	Finance		15.1	Insufficient cash to meet commitments	Finance Director	5	1	5	Reserves policy specifies minimum cash level to be maintained throughout the year. Cash flow forecast prepared as part of annual budget and 5 year plan assesses whether policy minimum level will be met.	Regular cash forecasts and reviews during the year	Fee rises and DoH grant applications as required.	Low	Low
	Finance		15.2	expenses	EMT	4	1	4	Budget holder accountability for setting budgets and managing them. Timely monthly reporting and regular budget holder reviews held. EMT review of the monthly variances year to date.	Six and nine month reforecasts with spending plan revisions as feasible and appropriate. FTP costs mainly incurred towards the end of the lifecycle of a case, so increase in case pipeline would give early warning of rise in FTP costs.	Capped FTP legal case costs.	Low	Low
	Finance		15.3	Link to 13.1 Major Project Cost Over-runs	Project Lead / EMT	4	2	8	Effective project specification including creating decision points. Effective project management and timely project progress reporting (financial and non financial).	Project budgets have 15% contingency. Project exception reports including revised funding proposal is presented to EMT for approval.	EMT review of the project spendng variances to date	Low	Low
	Finance	I	15.7	Registrant Credit Card record fraud/theft	Finance Director	2	2	4	Compliance with PCI standards.	Limited access to card information	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £250k of loss	Low	Low
				Links to 5.3									
	Finance		15.9	Mismatch between Council goals & approved financial budgets Links to 1.1	Chief Executive	4	2	8	Close and regular communication between the Executive, Council and its Committees.	Adequate quantification of the budgetary implications of proposed new initiatives	Use of spending prioritisation criteria during the budget process	Low	Low
	Finance	I	15.12	Unauthorised removal of assets	Facilities Manager & IT Director	2	2	1	Building security including electronic access control and recording and CCTV. IT asset labeling & asset logging (issuance to employees)	Fixed Asset register itemising assets. Job exit procedures (to recover HCPC laptops, blackberries, mobile phones etc). Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.	Computer asset insurance.	Low	Low
	Finance	I	15.13a		Finance Director	3	2	6	Well established effective processes, incl segregation of duties and review of actual costs vs budgets.	Regular audits; whistleblowing policy	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £250k of loss	Low	Low
				Incorporates aspects of previous ri	sks 15.10 and 15.11					Signed disclosure forms indicating tax			
	Finance		15.18	PAYE/NI/corporation tax compliance	Finance Director	2	3	6	Effective payroll process management at 3rd party. Finance staff attend payroll & tax updates	adgree disclosure forms indicating tax category status for all Council and Committee members. Professional tax advice sought where necessary, including status of CCM's and partners	PAYE Settlement Agreement in place with HMRC relating to Category One Council and Committee members.	Low	Low
	Finance		15.20	Bank insolvency: permanent loss of deposits or temporary inability to access deposits	Finance Director	5	1	5	Investment policy sets "investment grade" minimum credit rating for HCPC's banks and requires diversification - cash spread across at least two banking licences			Low	Low
	Finance		15.21	Financial distress of key trade suppliers causes loss of business critical service	Finance Director	4	2	8	Financial health of new suppliers above OJEU threshold considered as part of OJEU PQQ process. Ongoing financial monitoring of key suppliers through Dun & Bradstreet reports	Escrow agreements	Alternative suppliers where possible, eg transcription services framework	Medium	Medium

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Finance

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
	Finance		15.22	Payroll process delay or failure	Finance Director	2	2	4		Hard copy records held securely. Restricted system access.		Low	Low
	Finance		15.23	1st 2015	Chief Executive & Finance Director	4	5	20	Consider increase in fees	Legislative and operational adjustments		High	High
	Finance		15.24	Model not yet finalised by DH or P Failure to apply good procurement practice (contracts below OJEU threshold) leads to poor value for money and/or criticism	PSA Finance Director & Procurement Mgr	2	2		Approved procurement policy. Legal advice on ISO9001 compliant process design.		New suppliers process as "backstop" to failure.	Low	Low
	Finance			Failure to adhere to OJEU Procurement and Tendering requirements leads to legal challenge and costs	Finance Director & Procurement Mgr	4	3	12	Robust OJEU specific processes agreed by legal advisors	Legal oversight of OJEU related material created by HCPC	Legal oversight of OJEU scoring and supplier communication	Low	Low
	Finance		15.26	Budgeting error leads to overcommitment of funds	Finance Director	4	2	8		Budgets are prepared by departments and then reviewed by Finance. Budgets for coming year baselined vs current year budget and forecast	Budgets are discussed/challenged by EMT at annual pre-budget setting review	Low	Low
	Finance		15.27	Payment error leads to irrecoverable funds	Finance Director	3	2			System controls over changing payee bank details	Payment signatory reviews of payment runs	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Pensions

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
16	Pensions		16.2	Non compliance with pensions legislation	Finance Director and HR Director	3	2	6		HR and Finance staff briefed on regulations	Advice from payroll provider. Seek specialist pensions legal advice as required.	Low	Low
	Pensions		16.3	Increase in the Capita Flexiplan funding liability resulting from scheme valuation deficiency	Finance Director	3	2	6	Plan is closed to new members so there is only a limited set of circumstances that could give rise to an increase in the liability	Initial employer contributions to the Plan deficit were set on prudent basis	Monitor the performance of the Plan through periodic employers' meetings	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN Jan 2015

Information Security

Re	f	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2015	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2015	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2015	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2014
1	7	Information Security	I	17.1	Loss of information from HCPC's electronic databases due to inappropriate removal by an employee	EMT, Director of IT and Director of Operations	5	3	15	Access is restricted to only the data that is necessary for the performance of the services. Employment contract includes Data Protection and Confidentiality Agreement	Adequate access control procedures maintained. System audit trails.	Laptop encryption. Remote access to our infrastructure using a VPN . Documented file encryption procedure	Low	Low
					Links to 5.3. Incl old 17.6									
		Information Security	I	17.2	HCPC Document & Paper record Data Security	EMT; Head of Business Improvement	5	3	15	Use of locked document destruction bins in each dept. Use of shredder machines for confidential record destruction in some depts e.g. Finance.	Data Protection agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Dept files stored onsite in locked cabinets. Training where appropriate (Employees & Partners)	Regarding Reg Appln forms processing, employment contract includes Data Protection Agreement	Low	Low
		Information		17.3		EMT, Director of IT and	5	3	15	Access is restricted to only the data that is necessary for the performance of the	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through	Data Processor agreements signed by the relevant	Low	Low
		Security		17.5	paper based information	Director of Operations	5	3	15	services.	secure methods. Training where appropriate Employees & (Partners)	suppliers.	LOW	LOW
		Information Security	I	17.4	Inappropriate data received by HCPC from third parties	Director of Ops, and Director of FTP	5	2	10	Read only, password protected access by a restricted no of FTP employees to electronic KN data.	Registrant payments taken in compliance with Payment Card Industry (PCI) Security standards ie with quarterly PCI testing.	Ensure third party data providers e.g. professional bodies provide the data password protected/encrypted/door to door courier/registered mall/sign in sign out as appropriate.	Low	Low
		Information Security	I	17.5	Loss of physical data despatched to and held by third parties for the delivery of their services	Director of Ops and Hd of Business Process Improv	5	3	15	Data Protection/Controller agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Use of electronic firewalls by suppliers.	Use of transit cases for archive boxes sent for scanning or copying and sign out procedures.	-	Low	Low
		Information Security	I		Loss of Registrant personal data by the registration system (NetRegulate) application support provider in the performance of their support services (specific risk).	Director of IT and Director of Operations,	5	3	15	Access to and export of personal data is restricted to only that which is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods.	Data processor side letter specifying obligations and granting a limited indemnity.	Low	Low
		Information Security	I	17.7	Incorrect risk assessment of Information Assets	Hd of Business Process Improv & Asset Owners	4	2	8	Identification and collection of information risk assets	Regular audit and review of information risk assets by Hd of BPI	Regular identification and review of information risk assets by Hd of BPI	Low	New
		NEW Information Security	I	17.8	Loss of personal data by an HCPC Contractor or Partner providing application support in the performance of their support services (specific risk).	Director of IT and Director of Operations, Director of Education, Director of Fitness to Practice	5	3	15	Access to and export of personal data is restricted to only that which is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods.		Low	

Appendix i

Appendix i	
	Glossary & Abbreviations
Term	Meaning
AGM	Annual General Meeting
CDT	Cross Directorate Team (formerly HCPC's Middle Management Group)
CPD	Continuing Professional Development
EEA	European Economic Area, = European Economic Union, plus Norway, Iceland, plus for our purposes Switzerland
EMT	HCPC's Executive Management Team
EU	European Economic Union (formerly known as the "Common Market")
Europa Quality Print	Supplier of print and mailing services to HCPC
FReM	Financial Reporting Manual
FTP	Fitness to Practise
GP	Grandparenting
HSWPO	Health and Social Work Professions Order (2001)
HR	Human Resources
HW	Abbreviation for computer hardware
1	I = Information Security Management System (ISMS) risk
Impact	The result of a particular event, threat or opportunity occuring. Scored between 1 least effect on HCPC and 5 maximum effect on HCPC.
ISO	International Standards Organisation (the global governing body for the Quality standards used by HCPC)
ISO 9001:2008	The ISO Quality Management Standard used by HCPC.
IT	Information Technology
Likelihood	Used to mean Probability of the event or issue occurring within the next 12 months
MIS	Management Information System
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NetRegulate	The bespoke computer application used to manage the application, registration and renewal processes, and publish the online register Order in Council
OIC OJEU	Official journal of the European Union
Onboarding	The process of bringing a new profession into statuatory regulation from HCPC's viewpoint
OPS	Operations
PSA	Formerly (CHRE), renamed Professional Standards Authority for Health and Social Care in the 2012 legislation.
PLG	Professional Liason Group
Probability	Likelihood, chance of occurring. Not the "mathematical" probability. Scored between 1 least likely and 5 most likely to occur within the next year.
Q	Q = Quality Management System (QMS) Risk
QMS	Quality Management System, used to record and publish HCPC's agreed management processes
Risk	An uncertain event/s that could occur and have an impact on the achievement of objectives
Risk Owner	The person or entity that has been given the authority to manage a particular risk and is accountable for doing so.
Risk Score	Likelihood x Impact or Probability x Significance
SI	Statutory Instrument
Significance	Broadly similar to Impact
SSFS	Scheme Specific Funding Standard, a set of standards relating to pensions services
STD	Standards
SW VPN	Abbreviation for computer software Virtual Private Network, a method of securely accessing computer systems via the public internet
VEN	virtual - rivate retwork, a memory of securely accessing computer systems via the public internet

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT



DOCUMENT CONTROL: Reference Risk Treatment Plan. Version Feb 2015 Version 1.0 Issue Date: 09/03/2015 Classification: Public

Appendix ii

IMPACT

HCPC RISK MATRIX

ICPC RISK MATRIX Public Protection	Financial	Reputation						
Catastrophic 5 systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Catastrophic 5 Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Catastrophic 5 Incompetence/ maladministration or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship	5	10	15	20	25	
Significant 4 systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Significant 4 Unfunded pressures £250,000 - £1 million	Significant 4 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.	4	8	12	16	20	
Moderate 3 A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Moderate 3 Unfunded pressures £50,000 - £250,000	Moderate 3 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn	3	6	9	12	15	
Minor 2 A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for ndividuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Minor 2 Unfunded pressures £20,000 - £50,000	Minor 2 Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.	2	4	6	8	10	
Insignificant 1 A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Insignificant 1 Unfunded pressures over £10,000	Insignificant 1 Event that will lead to public criticism by externa stakeholders as anticipated.	1	2	3	4	5	
(EY		/	Negligible1	Rare 2	Unlikely 3	Possible 4	Probable 5	1
>11 High Risk: Urgent action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes ifterycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime I of the strategy.	May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	,
6-10 Medium Risk: Some action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	Likely to happen in the life- cycle of the programme or project.	Likely to occur in the life- cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	Programme / Project
<5 Low Risk: Ongoing monitoring required			Extremely infrequent – unilkely to happen in a strategic environment or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Does not happen often - once every six months.	May well happen on a monthly basis.	May well happen on a weekly basis.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.	

LIKELIHOOD

->

RISK MATRIX DEFINITIONS

IMPACT TYPES

LIKELIHOOD AREAS

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMEN1

Public Protection	Financial	Reputation					
Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5					
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministration or other even that will destroy public trust or a key relationship					
Significant 4	Significant 4	Significant 4					
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £50,000 £250k - £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.					
Moderate 3	Moderate 3	Moderate 3 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn					
A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £8,000 £50,000 - £250,000						
Minor 2	Minor 2	Minor 2					
A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Unfunded pressures over £2,000 between £20,000-£50,000	widespread public criticism.					
Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1						
A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Unfunded pressures over £1,000 Unfunded pressures over £10,000						

	Strategic	Programme / Project	Operational Probable 5					
	Probable 5	Probable 5						
	"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	Likely to occur in the life-cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.					
	Possible 4	Possible 4	Possible 4					
	Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	Likely to happen in the life- cycle of the programme or project. May well happen on a we basis.						
	Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3					
	May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	May well happen on a monthly basis.					
	Rare 2	Rare 2	Rare 2					
	Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	Does not happen often - once every six months.					
	Negligible1	Negligible1	Negligible1					
	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.					

LIKELIHOOD

HCPC Strategic Objectives 2009 - 2015

code	
SO1.GG	Objective 1: Good governance
	To maintain, review and develop good corporate governance
SO2.EBP	Objective 2: Efficient business processes
	To maintain, review and develop efficient business processes throughout the organisation
SO3.Com	Objective 3: Communication
	To increase understanding and awareness of regulation amongst all stakeholders
SO4.Evid	Objective 4: Build the evidence base of regulation
	To ensure that the organisation's work is evidence based
SO5.IPA	Objective 5: Influence the policy agenda
	To be proactive in influencing the wider regulatory policy agenda
SO6.HmCt	y Objective 6: Engagement in the four countries
	To ensure that our approach to regulation takes account of differences between the four countries

HCPC has an averse appetite to risk in that we;

- a. Identify all relevant risks
- b. Mitigate those risks to an appropriate level
- c. Invest mitigation resources in proportion to the level of risk

DOCUMENT CONTROL: Reference Risk Treatment Plan. Version Feb 2015 Version 1.0 Issue Date: 09/03/2015 Classification: Public

HCPC draft Risk Assurance mapping

HCPC draft Risk Assurance mapping \rightarrow Increasing Assurance \rightarrow																
		AREA B. Functional oversight / Governance	AREA A Independent review / Assurance / Regulatory oversight													
Key Business Risk areas Assurance Map	Systems Controls	Operational Risk Management	Inter-departmental Quality Assurance	Near Miss Reporting	ЕМТ	Council	Audit Committee	Internal Auditors	External Auditors (NAO)	External Legal Advice	Quality Management System ISO9001	Information Security Management ISO27001	PSA	Penetration Testing	PCI-DSS	Parliamentary oversight
Strategic risks						x	x	x		x						x
Communications		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x			
Continuing Professional Development	x	x	x	x	x		x			x						
Corporate Governance			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x			x
Information Security	x	x	x	x	x		x	x			x	x		×	x	
Education	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			
Finance	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x
Fitness to Practise	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	×		x	x		x			x
HR	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	×		x	x	x				
Information Technology	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		
Legal				x	x	x	x	×		x			x			x
Operations	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	×	x		x		x			
Partner	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	×			x	x	x			
Pensions				x	x	x	x	x		x						
Policy & Standards			x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			x
Project Management	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x				
Quality Management	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x		x			
Registration	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	×		x	x		x			