Audit Committee, 20 March 2014

Risk Register Update

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

The Risk Register is the HCPC document that identifies the risks that the Health & Care Professions Council is exposed to. The Risk Register is published twice yearly, February and September, following a review by the Risk Owners.

health & care professions council

Decision

The Audit Committee is asked to discuss the detail and mitigations around HCPC's Top Risks. There is one risk remaining at High, post mitigation. There are 6 risks remaining at Medium level post mitigation.

Background information

Audit Committee periodically hear from all risk owners, to provide additional detail and feedback on their specific risks. This cycle will continue.

Resource implications

None

Financial implications

None

Appendices

Risk Register – January 2014.

Date of paper

6 March 2014

Risk Register

Marc Seale, Chief Executive & Registrar Report to Council, March 2014



Jul 2013 Risk Assessment

Contents	Page
Contents page	2
Top 10 HCPC risks	3
Changes since last published	4
Strategic risks	5
Communications risks	7
Continuing Professional Development (CPD) risks now in Registrations risks	13
Corporate Governance risks Data Security risks	8 23
Education risks	23 11
Finance risks	19,20,21
Fitness to Practise risks	17
HR risks	15
Information Technology risks	9
Legal risks	16
Operations risks	6
Partner risks	10
Pensions risks	22
Policy & Standards risks	18
Project Management risks	12
Quality Management risks	13
Registration risks	14
Appendix i Glossary and Abbreviations	24
Appendix ii HCPC Risk Matrix	25
HCPC Risk Matrix terms detail	26

"Top 10" Risks (High & Medium after mitigation)

Historic Risk Scores

	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	CURRENT RISK SCORE
2.7	Interuption to electricity supply (pre-mit 16)	Facilities Manager	Relocate to other buildings on site	If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan	-	High
13.3	Tribunal exceptional costs (pre-mit 25)	FTP Director	Quality of operational processes	Accurate and realistic forecasting	Quality of legal advice	Medium
1.5	Loss of reputation (pre-mit 20)	Chief Executive	Quality of governance procedures	Quality of operational procedures	Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium
2.11	Basement flooding (pre-mit 16)	Facilities Manager	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress	-	-	Medium
13.4	Rapid increase in number of allegations and resultant legal costs (pre-mit 16)	FTP Director	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Medium
15.21	Financial distress of trade suppliers causes loss of service (pre-mit 16)	Finance Director	Financial monitoring of key suppliers via Dun & Bradstreet	Escrow agreements	Alternative suppliers	Medium
12.1	Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance (pre-mit 15)		Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium

Sept 2013 Risk	Feb 2013 Risk	Sept 2012 Risk	Feb 2012 Risk	July 2011 Risk	Feb 2011 Risk	Sept 2010 Risk	Feb 2010 Risk	Sept 2009 Risk
High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High	High	High	High	High
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	-
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	-
Medium	Medium	Medium						
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium

Risks listed in order of CURRENT RISK SCORE, then PRE_MITIGATION SCORE

Category	Ref#	Description	Nature of change in this version
Strategic	1.2	Unexpected change in UK legislation	Reword mitigation II
Operational	2.2	Inability to communicate via post	Impact and likelihood lowered as online take up improves
	2.12	Significant disruption to UK transport network - external events	Description expanded to indicate where travel is occurring
Education	7.3	Inability to conduct visits or not submitting data	Lower likelihood 4 to 2
Quality Management	9.2	Employees non-compliance with established Standard Operating Proceedures	New risk
Legal	12.1	Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HPO	Update description
Data Security	17	Data Security changed to Information Security	Rename title of risk group

Changes since the previous iteration of HCPC's Risk Register

Overview of Risk Management process

Throughout the year exisiting risks are continually monitored and assessed by Risk Owners against Likelihood, and Impact on HCPC, the effectiveness of mitigations and the levels of residual risk.

Future risks are also documented, evaluated and monitored against the same criteria.

Every six months these changes and additions to risks are updated in the risk register and formally documented by the Director of Operations or Head of Business Process Improvement, and the Top Ten Risks (High & Medium only after mitigation) are presented to the Audit Committee.

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

											Strat	egic
Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
1	Strategic	1.1	HCPC fails to deliver SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill	Council	5	1	5	Delivery of HCPC Strategy	Publication of Annual Report	-	Low	Low
			Links to 7.1-7.4, 18.1, 8.1-8.3, 10.4, 10.5, 11.4, 15.9									
	Strategic		legislation	Chief Executive	5	2	10	Relationship with Government depts	Enviromental scanning	-	Low	Low
			Links to 2.2, 15.14									
	Strategic	1.3	Incompatible SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill and EU legislation	Chief Executive	1	3	3	Monitoring of EU directives e.g. Professional Qualifications Directive	Membership of Alliance of UK Health Regulators on Europe (lobby group)	-	Low	Low
	Strategic	1.4	Failure to maintain a relationship with PSA (formerly CHRE)	Chief Executive & Chair	5	1	5	HCPC Chair and Chief Executive relationship with PSA	Communications	-	Low	Low
	Strategic	1.5	Loss of reputation	Chief Executive & Chair	5	4	20	Quality of governance procedures		Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium	Medium
	Strategic		Failure to abide by current Equality & Diversity legislation	Chief Executive	4	2	8	Equality & Diversity scheme	Implimentation of scheme for employees Implimentation of scheme for partners	Equality & Diversity working group	Low	Low

And the second se

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

							SK ASSESSMENT	,			Opera	itions
Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
2	Operations	2.1	Inability to occupy premises or use interior equipment	Facilities Manager	4	2	8	Invoke Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity plan	Commercial combined insurance cover (fire, contents, terrorism etc)	-	Low	Low
	Operations	2.2	Rapid increase in registrant numbers	Chief Executive and EMT	3	5	15	Scaleable business processes and scalable IT systems to support them	Influence the rate at which new professions are regulated	-	Low	Low
	Operations	2.3	Links to 1.2, 13.4 Unacceptable service standards	Director of Operations	5	4	20	ISO 9001 Registration, process maps, well documented procedures & BSI audits	Hire temporary employees to clear service backlogs	Detailed workforce plan to match workload.	Low	Low
	Operations. Impact & Likelihood dropped from 4,4	2.4	Links to 9.1, 10.4 Inability to communicate via postal services (e.g. Postal strikes)	Facilities Manager	3	3	9	Use of other media including Website, newsletter & email and courier services	Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan	Collection of >80% income fees by DD	Medium	Medium
	Operations	2.5	Public transport disruption leading to inability to use Park House	Facilities Manager & Head Bus Proc	4	5	20	Contact employees via Disaster Recovery Plan process	Make arrangements for employees to work at home if possible	-	Low	Low
	Operations	2.6	Inability to accommodate HCPC employees	Facilities Manager	4	3	12	Ongoing Space planning	Additional premises purchase or rented	-	Low	Low
	Operations	2.7	Links to 5.2 Interruption to electricity supply	Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Relocate to other buildings on site	If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan	-	High	High
	Operations	2.8	Interruption to gas supply	Facilities Manager	1	2	2	Temporary heaters to impacted areas			Low	Low
	Operations	2.9	Interruption to water supply	Facilities Manager	2	2	4	Reduce consumption	l emporarily reduce headcount to align with legislation	Invoke DR plan if over 24 hrs	Low	Low
	Operations	2.10	Telephone system failure causing protracted service outage	Director of IT	4	3	12	Support and maintenance contract for hardware and software of the ACD and PABX	Backup of the configuration for both the ACD and PABX	Diverse routing for the physical telephone lines from the two exchanges with different media types	Low	Low
	Operations	2.11	Basement flooding	Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress	-	-	Medium	Medium
	Operations. Updated description	2.12	Significant disruption to UK transport network by environmental extremes e.g. snow, rain, ash; civil unrest or industrial acton; disrupts planned external activities	Director of Operations & Head Bus Proc	3	2	6	Use of alternate networks	Use of video or teleconferencing facility to achieve corum	Invoke Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity plan	Low	Low
	Operations	2.14 (formerl y11.5)	Health & Safety of employees	Chief Executive & Facilities Manager	5	4	20	Health & Safety Training, policies and procedures	H&S Assessments	Personal Injury & Travel insurance	Low	Low
			Links to 4.9, 6.3									

Amount of the second seco

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

											Commur	nications
Ret	Category	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
3	Communications	3.1	Failure to inform public Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Delivery of communications strategy.	Delivery of aspects of communications workplan, specifically public information campaigns, multi media advetising, distribution of public information materials, and web.		Low	Low
	Communications	3.2	bodies, employers or government	Director of Comms	5	3	15	Delivery of communications strategy, supporting the HCPC strategy	Delivery of aspects of communications work plan, specifically stakeholder activities	Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
	Communications	3.3	Links to 1.5 Inability to inform stakeholders following crisis	Director of Comms	4	1	4	Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan	Up to date Comms DR plan available	-	Low	Low
	Communications	3.4	Failure to inform Registrants Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Delivery of communications strategy	Delivery of aspects of communications workplan, specifically, Meet the HCPC events, campaigns, Registrant Newsletter, Profesional media and conference attendance. Publications and web.	Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
	Communications	3.5	Publication of material not approved for release	Director of Comms	4	2	8	Delivery of communications plan	Adherence to operational plans (Social Media planner)	-	Low	Low

Amazin Amazin

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

												overnance
Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2014	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
4	Corporate Governance	4.1	Council inability to make decisions	Secretary to Council & Chair	3	1	3	Regular meetings, agendas and clear lines of accountability between Council and committees	Well researched and drafted decision papers at meetings	Attendance by external professionals as required	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.2	Links to 4.4 Council members conflict of interest	Chair	4	4	16	Disclosure of members' interests to the Secretariat and ongoing Council & committee agenda item	Annual reminder to update Register of Interests	Member induction and training	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.3	Poor decision-making eg conflicting advice or conflicting advice and decisions	Chair	4	1	4	Well-researched & drafted decision papers, Clear lines of accountability and scheme of delegation	Chair's involvement in the induction and relevant training of members	Attendance by external professionals, as required.	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.4	Failure to meet Council/Committee quorums	Secretary to Council	4	3	12	Clear communication of expectations of Council members' duties upfront	Adequate processes notifying Council & committee members of forthcoming meetings prior to meeting icluding confirmation of attendance	Committee secretaries and chairs advised that inquorate meetings must not proceed	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.5	Links to 4.1 Members' poor performance	Chair	4	1	4	Appointment against competencies	Annual appraisal of Council members	Removal under Sch 1, Para 9(1)(f) of the HSWPO 2001	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.6	Poor performance by the Chair	Council	5	1	5	Appointment against competencies	Power to remove the Chair under Sch 1, Article 12(1) C of the HSWPO 2001	-	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.7	Poor performance by Chief Executive	Chair	5	1	5	Performance reviews and regular "one to ones" with the Chair	Contract of Employment	-	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.8	Improper financial incentives offered to Council members/employees	Chair and Chief Executive	4	2	8	Gifts & Inducements policy	Council member code of conduct	Induction training re:adherence to Nolan principles & Bribery Act 2010	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.9	Failure to ensure the Health & Safety of Council Members Links to 6.3, 11.5	Secretary to Council , Facilities Manager & Finance Director	4	2	8	Safety briefing at start of each Council or Committee meeting.	H&S information on Council Extranet	Personal Injury and Travel insurance	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.10	Member recruitment problem (with the requisite skills)	Chair	4	2	8	Maintenance of a detailed role description for these positional applicants on to HCPC or its committees	Use of skills matrix in recruitment exercise	Induction of panel members	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.11	Links to 6.1, 11.13 Expense claim abuse by members	Secretary to Council	4	2	8	Members Code of Conduct (public office)	Clear and comprehensive Council agreed policies posted on the Council member Extranet and made clear during induction	Budget holder review and authorisation procedures	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.12	Operationalise Section 60 legislation	Council	5	2	10	Scheme of delegation	MIS	EMT & CDT	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.13	Failure to comply with DPA 1998 or FOIA 2000, leading to ICO action	Secretary to Council	3	3	9	Legal advice	Clear ISO processes	-	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.14	Transition to smaller Council	Chair & Secretary to Council	3	2	6	Oversight by PSA	Continuity of Chair, (to remain in post for three years)	Core processes to continue unchanged	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	4.15	Failure to adhere to the requirements of the Bribery Act 2010	Chair & Secretary to Council	4	2	8	Suite of policies and processes related to the Bribery Act	Oversight of HCPC processes that could be vulnerable to bribery, by EMT and Internal Audit	Compliant processes designed for HCPC as a matter of course	Low	Low

Corporate Governance

Oorporate Governance

Enc 03a - Risk Register updat

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Information Technology

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2014	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
5	IT		Software Virus damage	Director of IT	4	5	20	Anti-virus software deployed at several key points. Perimeter controls enabled.		Regular externally run security penetration tests.	Low	Low
	іт	5.2	Links to 2.3, 10.2 Technology obsolescence, (Hard/SoftWare)	Director of IT	2	2		belivery of the TI strategy including the refresh of	Employ small core of mainstream technology with recognised support and maintenance agreements	Accurately record technology assets.	Low	Low
	п	5.3	Links to 2.6, 10.2 Fraud committed through IT services	Director of IT	3	3		Appropriate and proportionate access restrictions to business data. System audit trails.		Regular externally run security tests.	Low	Low
	п		Links to 10.2 and 17.1 Failure of IT Continuity Provision	Director of IT	4	3	12		IT continuity plan is reviewed when a service changes or a new service is added	Appropriate and proportionate technical solutions are employed. IT technical staff appropriately trained.	Low	Low
	іт	5.5	Malicious damage from unauthorised access	Director of IT	4	2		Security is designed into the IT architecture, using external expert consultancy	Regular externally run security penetration tests.	Periodic and systematic proactive security reviews of the infrastructure. Application of security patches in a timely manner. Physical access to the IT infrastructure restricted and controlled.	Low	Low
	п	5.6	Data service disruption (via utility action)	Director of IT	5	1	5	Redundant services	Diverse routing of services where possible	Appropriate service levels with utility providers and IT continuity plan	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

												Parti	ners
Re	əf	Category	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
		Partners	6.2	Incorrect interpretation of law and/or SI's resulting in PSAHSE	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	2	4	8	Training	Legal Advice	Regular appraisal system	Low	Low
		Partners	6.4	Partners poor performance	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	4	3	12	Regular training	Regular appraisal system	Partner Complaints Process &Partner Code of Conduct	Low	Low
		Partners	6.5	Incorrect interpretation of HSWPO in use of Partners	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	3	2			Daily Email notificaton of partner registrant lapse	-	Low	Low
		Partners	6.6	Adequate number and type of partner roles	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	3	2		Regular review of availability of existing pool of	Annual forecasting of future partner requirements to ensure that they are budgetted for.	Staggered partner agreements across professions for Panel Member and Panel Chair to ensure adequate supply in line with the eight year rule.	Low	Low
		Partners	6.7	User departments using non- active partners	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	3	3	9		Current partner lists available to user departments on shared drive.	-	Low	Low

.

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

											Education					
Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013				
7	Education	7.1	Failure to detect low education providers standards	Director of Education	4	2	8	Operational processes (approval, monitoring and complaints about an approved programme)		Memorandums of understandings with other regulators (e.g. CQC and Care Councils)	Low	Low				
╞	Education	7.2	Links to 1.1 , 4.3, 6.4 Education providers refusing visits or not submitting data	Director of Education	3	2	6	Legal powers (HSWPO 2001)	Delivery of Education Dpt supporting activities as documented in regular work	-	Low	Low				
			Links to 1.1		1											
	Education	7.3	Inability to conduct visits and	Director of Education	4	2	8	Adequate resourcing, training and visit scheduling		Temporary staff hire to backfill or clear work backlogs	Low	Low				
-			Links to 1.1. 6.1. 11.2 & 11.3													
	Education	7.4		Chief Executive or Director of Education	5	2	10	Delivery of Education strategy as documented in regular work plan	Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Publications, Newsletters, website content, inclusion in consultations and relevant PLGs, consultations with education providers	Low	Low				
			Links to 1.1. 14.2		1											
	Education	7.5		Director of IT	3	2	6	Effective backup and recovery processes	In house and third party skills to support system	Included in future DR/BC tests	Low	Low				
	Education	7.6	Loss or significant change to funding, commissioing and placement opportunities for approved programmes	Director of Education	3	2	6	Operational processes (approval, monitoring and complaints about an approved programme)	Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Regular training of employees and visitors	Low	Low				

-

-

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Project Management

Rel	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the on-going risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
8	Project Management	8.1	Fee change processes not operational by required date	Director of Finance Project Portfolio Manager	3	3	9		Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	-	Low	Low
	Project Management	8.2	Failure to regulate a new profession or a post-registration qualification as stipulated by legislation	Project Lead Project Portfolio Manager	5	1	5		Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Assess lessons to be learned from previous projects	Low	Low
	Project Management	8.13		Director of Education Project Portfolio Manager	3	2	6		Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Ensure robust testing including load	Low	Low
	Project Management	8.14	Failure to deliver a system & w of the HR & Partners departments	Director of HR Project Portfolio Manager	3	2	6		Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Project Initiation stage to pay particular attention to project scope and breadth/reach of project	Low	Low
	Project Management	8.15	Insurance. Unable to delivery	Director of Operations & Project Portfolio Manager	5	3	15		Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Work with DH to ensure it is possible to deliver the requirement	Low	Low
	Project Management		Failure to deliver statutory	Director of Operations & Project Portfolio Manager	5	2	10		Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders		Low	Low
	Project Management			EMT & Project Portfolio Manager	3	4	12	Manage resources accordingly	Accept changes to planned delivery		Med	Med

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Quality Management

Ref	Category	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
9	Quality Management	9.1	Loss of ISO 9001:2008 Certification	Director of Operations, Head of Business Improvement	4	3	12	Regular & internal audits	QMS standards applied across HCPC	Management buy-in	Low	Low
			Links to 2.3, 10.3									
	Quality Management	9.2	Employees non-compliance with established Standard Operating Proceedures	EMT	5	2	10		Standard Operating Procedures and prevention of overwriting systems	Extend ISO systems as required	Low	New

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

									Regist	rations
Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
10.1	Customer service failures	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	4	20	Accurate staffing level forecasts	Adequate staff resourcing & training	Supporting automation infrastructure eg call centre systems, NetRegulate system enhancements, registration re- structure	Low	Low
	Links to 11.1, 11.2									
10.2	Protracted service outage following a NetRegulate Registration system failure	Director of IT	5	3	15		Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements.	Annual IT Continuity tests	Low	Low
	Links to 5.1-5.3 and 17.1									
10.3		Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10	Financial audits, system audit trails	Policy and procedures supported by internal quality audits	Validation of submitted information, Education & ID checks	Low	Low
	Links to 9.1, 17.1 and 17.2									
10.4		Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	3	12	Continually refine model of accurate demand- forecasting, to predict employees required to prevent backlogs, and service failures	Process streamlining	Maintain required employee attendence and time keeping to service applicants and registrants	Low	Low
	Links to 1.1									
10.5	Mistake in the Registration process leading to liability for compensation to Registrant or Applicant	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10	Audits by Registration Management, system		Policy and procedures supported by ISO quality audits and process controls/checks	Low	Low

Well documented processes

Enc 03a - Risk Register update

Ref

10

18

Category

Registration

Registration

Registration

Registration

Registration

CPD

10.6 (18.1-7.5)

CPD processes not effective

Links to 1.1

Director of Operations, Head of Registration

4

2

8

Low

Low

Monitor and regulator feedback to the Education & Training

Committee

Appropriately trained members of the registrations team

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

							K ASSESSMENT Ja	,			Н	R
Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
11	HR	11.1	Loss of key HCPC employees	Chair, Chief Executive and EMT	4	4		Chief Executive succession plan held by HR Director. Succession planning generally.	Departmental training (partial or full) and process documentation	-	Low	Low
	HR	11.2	High turnover of employees	HR Director	3	2	6	Remuneration and HR strategy	Regular performance reviews	Exit interview analysis	Low	Low
	HR	11.3	Links to 11.3 Inability to recruit suitable employees Links to 4.10, 6.1, 11.2, 11.8	HR Director	2	2		HR Strategy and adequate resourcing of the HR dept	Careful specification of recruitment adverts and interview panel selection	Hire skilled temporary staff in the interim	Low	Low
	HR	11.4	Lack of technical and managerial	Chief Executive	4	3		HR strategy and goals and objectives (buy in the skills v staff upskilling on the job v training)	Training needs analysis & training delivery.	Some projects or work initiatives delayed or outsourced	Low	Low
	HR	11.6	Links to 1.1 High sick leave levels	EMT	2	3	6	Adequate staff (volume and type) including hiring temporary staff	Return to work interviews and sick leave monitoring	Regular progess reviews	Low	Low
	HR	11.7	Employee and ex-employee litigation	HR Director	4	3	12	Regular one on one sessions between manager and employee and regular performance reviews.	HR legislation and HR disciplinary policies	Employee surveys, Exit Interviews	Low	Low
	HR	11.8	benaviour	HR Director	4	4	16	Whistle blowing policy, Code of Conduct & Behaviour	Other HR policy and procedures	Employee Assistance programme	Low	Low
	HR	11.9	Links to 11.3 Non-compliance with Employment legislation	HR Director	5	2	10	HR Strategy	Obtain legislation updates and legal advice	HR policies and Manager training	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

											Le	gal
Ref	Category	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
12	Legal	12.1	Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2	Chief Executive	5	3	15	Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium	Medium
	Legal	12.2	Logal shallongs to HCBC	Chief Executive	4	4	16	Legal advice and ISO	Communications	-	Low	Low

12.2 copied from FTP section 13.2 on22/07/09

-

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2014	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
13	Fitness to Practise	13.1	Legal cost over-runs	FTP Director	4	4	16	Contractual and SLA arrangements with legal services providers(s)	Legal Insurance covering exceptional High Court Costs	Quality of operational procedures	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	13.3	Links to 13.4, 15.2 Tribunal exceptional costs	FTP Director	5	5	25	Quality of operational processes	Accurate and realistic forecasting	Quality of legal advice	Medium	Medium
	Fitness to Practise	13.4	Rapid increase in the number of allegations and resultant legal costs	FTP Director	4	4	16	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Medium	Medium
	Fitness to Practise	13.5	Links to 13.1 Witness non-attendance	FTP Director	4	2	8	Vulnerable witness provisions in the legislation	Witness support programme	Witness summons	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	13.6	Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees	FTP Director	5	5	25	Advice sought from the Police	Adequate facilities security	Periodic use of security contractors and other steps	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	13.7	High Number of Registration Appeals	FTP Director & Director of Operations, Head of Registrations	3	5	15	Training and selection of Registration Assessors, so reasoned decisions are generated	Quality of operational processes	-	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	13.8	Backlog of FTP cases	FTP Director	3	4	12	Annual reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	Quality of operational processes	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	13.9	Excessive cases per Case Manager workload	FTP Director	3	4	12	Annual reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	-	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	13.10	13.2 moved to 12.2 Protracted service outage following a Case Management System failure	Director of IT	5	3	15	Effective backup and recovery procedures	Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements	Annual IT continuity tests	Low	Low

Fitness to Practise

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Policy	& Standards	
--------	-------------	--

Ref	Category	Ref	E Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jun 2013
14	Policy & Standards	14.1	Incorrect process followed to establish stds/guidance/policy eg no relevant Council decision	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8	Legal advice and sign off sought on processes	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Quality mgt system & processes	Low	Low
			Links to 12.1									
	Policy & Standards	s ^{14.2}	Inappropriate stds/guidance published eg stds are set at inappropriate level, are too confusing or are conflicting	Council/committees	4	1	4	Use of professional liaison groups, and Council and committees including members with appropriate expertise	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Consultation with stakeholders & legal advice sought	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards	1 4.3	Changing/evolving legal advice rendering previous work inappropriate	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8	Use of well-qualified legal professionals. Regular reviews.	Legal advice obtained in writing.	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team and others eg HR.	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards	i 14.4	Inadequate preparation for a change in legislation (Health Professions Order, or other legislation affecting HCPC)	ЕМТ	3	1	3	EMT responsible for remaining up to date relationships with governemnt depts and agencies.	HCPC's 5 year planning process	Legal advice sought	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards	14.5	PLG member recruitment without requisite skills and knowledge	Policy & Stds Director HCPC Chair, Secretary to Council(?)	4	1	4	Skills and knowledge identified in work plan	Recruitment policy	Council Scrutiny of PLG result	Low	Low
			Lnks to 4.10									
	Policy & Standards	14.6	Loss of Corporate Memory	Policy & Stds Director	3	3	9	Maintain appropriate records of project decisions	Appropriate hand over and succession planning	Department training	Low	New

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

							SK ASSESSMENT .				Fina	ance
Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jun 2013
15	Finance	15.1	Insufficient cash to meet commitments	Finance Director	5	1	5	Maintain an appropriate level of cash reserves to meet ongoing needs and comply with the Reserves policy. Effective management of collections and payments processes.	Regular cash forecasts and reviews	Annual and Five Year Plan forecasting of income (volumes & fees) and costs. Fee rises and DoH grant applications as required.	Low	Low
			Links to 15.5, 15.6, 15.17, 16.1, 16.2, 16.3									
	Finance	15.2	Unexpected rise in operating expenses	ЕМТ	3	1	3	Budget holder accountability for setting budgets and managing them. Timely monthly reporting and regular budget holder reviews held. Finance & Resources Committee review of the monthly variances year to date.	Six month reforecasts with spending plan revisions as feasible and appropriate.	Legal cost insurance for FTP cases. Capped FTP legal case costs.	Low	Low
			Link to 13.1									
	Finance	15.3	Major Project Cost Over-runs	Project Lead / EMT	4	2	8	Effective project specification including creating decision points. Effective project management and timely project progress reporting (financial and non financial).	Creation of a project capex contingency budget. Project exception reports including revised funding proposal is presented to EMT for approval.	Finance & Resources Committee review of the project spendng variances to date	Low	Low
			Links to 8.1-8.4									
	Finance	15.5	Links to 15.17 Inability to pay creditors	Finance Director	5	2	10	Effective weekly payment process management with regular review of aged creditors listing and supplier statements	Effective cash-flow forecasting. Registrant creditors policy compliance.	Extensive use of preferred suppliers with bank account details loaded into Sage.	Low	Low
	Finance	15.6	Links to 15.1	Finance Director	5	2	10	Collection via Direct Debit instruction for approximately 80% of renewal fees value	Registrant debtors policy compliance	Prompt actioning of rejected DD's. Periodic reviews.	Low	Low
	Finance	15.7	Links to 15.1 Registrant Credit Card record fraud/theft	Finance Director	3	1	3	Daily credit card payment reconciliation's in Finance dept - Streamline to Netregulate and bank statements.	Tight procedures to retrieve sensitive paper records from archive, rationalise records kept and retain sensitive current	Compliance with credit card record storage standards.	Low	Low
								Dank statements.	year records with security tagging.			
	Finance	15.8	Links to 5.3 Receipt of fee income as per collection schedule	Finance Director	3	3	9	Netregulate processes & controls in place (charging & receipts) including person cover	Monthly revenue reconciliation's between Netregulate and SAGE systems	-	Low	Low
	Finance	15.9	Mismatch between Council goals & approved financial budgets	Chief Executive	4	2	8	Close and regular communication between the Executive, Council and its Committees.	Adequate quantification of the budgetary implications of proposed new initiatives	Use of spending prioritisation criteria during the budget process with capex contingency amount held in reserve	, Low	Low
			Links to 1.1									
	Finance	15.10	Unauthorised payments to organisations	Finance Director	3	2	6	Requirement for the relevant approved PO's and invoices to support payments to preferred and one off suppliers. Regular audits. Segregation of duties.	Maintenance of the aproved purchase order and invoice signatory list. PRS PO's have system pre-set approval routes. Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss		Low
	Finance	15.11	Links to 5.3 Unauthorised payments to personnel	Finance Director	3	2	6	Effective expense claim and payroll authorisation processes. Segregation of duties.	Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss		Low
			Links to 5.3									
	Finance	15.12	Unauthorised removal of assets (custody issue)	Facilities Manager	3	2	6	IT asset labeling & asset logging (issuance to employees)	Fixed Asset register itemising assets. Job exit procedures (to recover HCPC laptops, blackberries, mobile phones etc). Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss. Computer asset insurance.	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

						RI	SK ASSESSMENT	January 2014			-	
											Fina	ance
Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2014	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jun 2013
	Finance		Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)	Finance Director	4	1	4	Minimial use of manual chqs. Segregation of duties (preparation and signing). Two signatories required on all cheques.	Photocopies of one off supplier cheques held on file. Monthly bank reconciliations. Whistleblowing policy.	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss	Low	Low
	Finance	15.14	Links to 5.3 Non compliance with FReM reporting	Finance Director	3	1	3	Periodic reviews of HM Treasury and NAO information updates. Technical updates from NAO. Clarifications sought, as required.	Employee training	Auditor feedback early in Annual Report preparation process.	Low	Low
	Finance Finance		Links to 1.2 Qualified opinion received by the Auditors on the Statutory Financial Statements	Finance Director	5	1	5	Timely accrual postings supported by source documentation. Internal control compliance (regularity of spending). Audit findings compliance.	FReM compliance & timely expert valuations eg investment funds, land and buildings	Reliable financial systems. Income, Expense & Balance Sheet Reconciliation's. Matching Sage TB to Mgt Accs & Mgt Accs to Statutory Financial Statements	Low	Low
	Finance	15.16	Late submission of the Annual Report, beyond sector standards	Secretary to Council	3	1	3	Upfront agreement on the Year End and Annual Report reporting process dates. Committee approval of the Audit Plan(s).	Effective process management	-	Low	Low
	Finance	15.18	Links to 15.1 PAYE/NI compliance	Finance Director	3	2	6	Effective payroll process management at 3rd party. Payroll system tax deductions set up using valid tax codes. Tax provisions made anc tax returns filed on a timely basis. Real Time Information ensures tax codes are up-to-date.	Signed disclosure forms indicating tax category status for all Council and Committee members. Professional tax advice sought, including status of CCM's and partners	Tax Provisions maintained for PAYE/NI payable relating to Council and Committee members. PAYE Settlement Agreement in place with HMRC relating to Category One Council and Committee members.	Low	Low
	Finance		Corporation tax compliance (tax due on investment income only)	Finance Director	3	1	3	Preparation and filing of the Corporation Tax return (CT600 form) by thrid party following determination of Corporate tax liability during Annual Report process.	Professional tax advice sought e.g. Corporate Tax Return preparation (including capital allowance claims) and filing.	-	Low	Low
	Finance	15.20	Bank insolvency or fraud	Finance Director	5	2	10	Funds diversification - cash spread across three UK banks, with at least a Standard and Poor AA minus credit rating	FSA insurance for proven financial loss of up to £50k of funds held per UK financial institution.	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss by supplier acting on HCPC's behalf.	Low	Low
	Finance	15.21	Financial distress of trade suppliers causes loss of service	Finance Director	4	4	16	Financial monitoring of key suppliers	Escrow agreements	Alternative suppliers	Medium	Medium
	Finance	15.22	Payroll process delay or failure	Finance Director	5	2	10	Outsourced to third party. Agreed monthly payroll process timetable (with slack built in). If process delayed, payment may be made by CHAPS (same day payment), cash or cheque.	Hard copy records held securely. Restricted system access.		Low	Low
	Finance		PSA full cost recovery model places excessive pressure on HCPC April 2013 onwards	Chief Executive & Finance Director	4	3	12	Communicate with PSA to understand potential models for cost recovery and feed back on impact on HCPC	Responded to forthcoming DH Consultation on Levy Methodology	Budget for projected amount at appropriate time.	Low	Low
			Model not yet finalised by DH or F	PSA								

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

											Fina	nce
Ref	Category	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jun 2013
	Finance	15.24	Failure to adhere to Procurement and Tendering requirements	Finance Director	4	2				New suppliers process as "backstop" to failure.	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

											Pens	sions
Re	Category	Ref	# Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
10	Pensions	16.2	legislation	Finance Director	4	2	8			Seek specialist pensions legal advice as required.	Low	Low
	Pensions	16.3	Links to 15.1, 15.5 Capita Flexiplan funding liability resulting from scheme valuation deficiency		4	4		If an employer shortfall crystalises, finance the HCPC liability from money market deposits. Scheme assets are under professional funds management involving diversification until conversion into beneficiary annutites.	actuarial valuation of the fund to identify whether pension assets will cover pension liabilities. Make a financial provision where a shortfall is indicated and the HCPC's portion of the shortfall is	Monitor actions of the Employers' Consultative Group in working with the scheme trustees and administrators to wind up the Flexiplan scheme. Seek specialist pensions legal advice as required.	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Information Security

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2014	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
17	Information Security	17.1	Electronic data is removed inappropriately by an employee	Director of IT	5	3	15	Employment contract includes Data Protection and Confidentiality Agreement	Adequate access control procedures maintained. System audit trails.	Laptop encryption. Remote access to our infrastructure using a VPN . Documented file encryption procedure	Low	Low
	Information Security	17.2	Links to 5.3 Document & Paper record Data Security Links to 15.7	EMT; Head of Business Improvement	5	3	15	Use of locked document destruction bins in each dept. Use of shredder machines for confidential record destruction in some depts e.g. Finance.	Data Protection agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Dept files stored onsite in locked cabinets. Training where appropriate (Employees & Partners)		Low	Low
	Information Security	17.3	Loss of electronic data	EMT, Director of IT and Director of Operations	5	3	15	Access is restricted to only the data that is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods. Training where appropriate Employees & (Partners)	Data Processor agreements signed by the relevant suppliers.	Low	Low
	Information Security	17.4	Data received from third parties	Director of Ops, and Director of FTP	5	2	10	Read only, password protected access by a restricted no of FTP employees to electronic KN data.	Registrant payments taken in compliance with Payment Card Industry (PCI) Security standards ie with quarterly PCI testing.	Ensure third party data providers e.g. professional bodies provide the data password protected/encrypted/door to door courier/registered mail/sign in sign out as appropriate.	Low	Low
	Information Security	17.5	Loss of physical data despatched to and held by third parties for the delivery of their services	Director of Ops and Hd of Business Process Improv	5	3	15	Data Protection/Controller agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Use of electronic firewalls by suppliers.	Use of transit cases for archive boxes sen for scanning or copying and sign out procedures.	-	Low	Low
	Information Security	17.6	Loss of Registrant personal data by the registration system (NetRegulate) application support provider in the performance of their support services (specific risk).	Director of IT and Director of Operations	5	3	15	Access to and export of Registrant data is restricted to only that which is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods.	Data processor side letter specifying obligations and granting a limited indemnity.	Low	Low

Appendix i

Glossary & Abbreviations

Term	Meaning
AGM	Annual General Meeting
CDT	Cross Directorate Team (formerly HCPC's Middle Management Group)
CPD	Continuing Professional Development
EEA	European Economic Area, = European Economic Union, plus Norway, Iceland, plus for our purposes Switzerland
EMT	HCPC's Executive Management Team
EU	European Economic Union (formerly known as the "Common Market")
Europa Quality Print	Supplier of print and mailing services to HCPC
FReM	Financial Reporting Manual
FTP	Fitness to Practise
GP	Grandparenting
HSWPO	Health and Social Work Professions Order (2001)
HR	Human Resources
HW	Abbreviation for computer hardware
Impact	The result of a particular event, threat or opportunity occuring. Scored between 1 least effect on HCPC and 5 maximum effect on HCPC.
ISO	International Standards Organisation (the global governing body for the Quality standards used by HCPC)
ISO 9001:2008	The ISO Quality Management Standard used by HCPC.
IT	Information Technology
Likelihood	Used to mean Probability of the event or issue occurring within the next 12 months
MIS	Management Information System
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NetRegulate	The bespoke computer application used to manage the application, registration and renewal processes, and publish the online register
OIC	Order in Council
OJEU	Official journal of the European Union
Onboarding	The process of bringing a new profession into statuatory regulation from HCPC's viewpoint
OPS	Operations
PSA	Formerly (CHRE), renamed Professional Standards Authority for Health and Social Care in the 2012 legislation.
PLG	Professional Liason Group
Probability	Likelihood, chance of occurring. Not the "mathematical" probability. Scored between 1 least likely and 5 most likely to occur within the next year.
QMS	Quality Management System, used to record and publish HCPC's agreed management processes
Risk	An uncertain event/s that could occur and have an impact on the achievement of objectives
Risk Owner	The person or entity that has been given the authority to manage a particular risk and is accountable for doing so.
Risk Score	Likelihood x Impact or Probability x Significance
SI	Statutory Instrument
Significance	Broadly similar to Impact
SSFS	Scheme Specific Funding Standard, a set of standards relating to pensions services
STD	Standards
SW	Abbreviation for computer software
VPN	Virtual Private Network, a method of securely accessing computer systems via the public internet

Appendix ii

IMPACT

HCPC RISK MATRIX

ICPC RISK MATRIX Public Protection	Financial	Reputation						
Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5						1
systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible	Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministration or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship		10	15	20	25	
Significant 4 systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Significant 4 Unfunded pressures greater than £50,000	Significant 4 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.	4	8	12	16	20	
Moderate 3 systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Moderate 3 Unfunded pressures greater than £8,000	Moderate 3 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn	3	6	9	12	15	
Minor 2 A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for ndividuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Minor 2 Unfunded pressures over £2,000	Minor 2 Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.	2	4	6	8	10	
Insignificant 1 A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Insignificant 1 Unfunded pressures over £1,000	Insignificant 1 Event that will lead to public criticism by externa stakeholders as anticipated.	1	2	3	4	5	
(EY		/	Negligible1	Rare 2	Unlikely 3	Possible 4	Probable 5	1
>11 High Risk: Urgent action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	
6-10 Medium Risk: Some action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes licevcle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	Likely to happen in the life- cycle of the programme or project.	Likely to occur in the life- cycle of the project, probably early on and pethaps more than once.	Programme / Project
<5 Low Risk: Ongoing monitoring required			Extremely infrequent – unilkely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Does not happen often - once every six months.	May well happen on a monthly basis.	May well happen on a weekly basis.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.	

LIKELIHOOD

-

RISK MATRIX DEFINITIONS

IMPACT TYPES

Public Protection	Financial	Reputation		
Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5		
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministration or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship		
Significant 4	Significant 4	Significant 4		
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £50,000	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.		
Moderate 3	Moderate 3	Moderate 3		
A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £8,000	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn		
Minor 2	Minor 2	Minor 2		
A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Unfunded pressures over £2,000	Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.		
Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1		
A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Unfunded pressures over £1,000	Event that will lead to public criticism by external stakeholders as anticipated.		

LIKELIHOOD AREAS

Strategic	Programme / Project	Operational		
Probable 5	Probable 5	Probable 5		
"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	Likely to occur in the life-cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.		
Possible 4	Possible 4	Possible 4		
Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	Likely to happen in the life-cycle of the programme or project.	May well happen on a weekly basis.		
Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3		
May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	May well happen on a monthly basis.		
Rare 2	Rare 2	Rare 2		
Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	Does not happen often - once every six months.		
Negligible1	Negligible1	Negligible1		
Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.		

LIKELIHOOD