

Audit Committee 13 March 2013

Internal audit – Review of recommendations

Executive summary and recommendations

At its meeting on 29 September 2011, the Committee agreed that it should receive a paper at each meeting, setting out progress on recommendations from internal audit reports.

Most of the information in the appendix is taken from the wording of the internal audit reports. The exception is the 'update' paragraph in the right-hand column, which provides details of progress.

Recommendations which have been implemented have been removed from this report. The original numbering of recommendations has been retained.

Decision

The Committee is requested to discuss the paper.

Background information

Please refer to individual internal audit reports for the background to recommendations.

Resource implications

None

Financial implications

None

Appendices

None

Date of paper

1 March 2013

Recommendations from internal audit reports

Information Security/Data protection (report dated September 2011 – considered at Audit Committee 29 September 2011)

Assurance on effectiveness of internal controls: Substantial Assurance

Recommendations summary

Priority Number of recommendations

Fundamental None Significant None Housekeeping 9

Risk 1: Electronic data is removed inappropriately by an employee (Data Security – Risk No 17.1)

	Observation/Risk	Recommendation	Priority	Management	Timescale/responsibility
				response	
1	Observation: Staff are asked to sign up	As planned,	Housekeeping	A review of the IT	2012-13 Financial year
	to the Information Technology Policy	HCPC should		Policy is scheduled for	
	under section 5h of the Employee	review and update		2012-13 financial year.	Director of HR /Director of
	Handbook. This policy details the	the Information		These updates will	IT
	responsibilities of the staff and the use	Technology Policy		reflect changes in	
	of devices such as laptops and PDA's	held within the		technology that are	Update: The USB
	and use of email, telephone calls etc.	Employee		rolled out to the	controls are in operation
		Handbook to		organisation over the	in the Registration, FTP,
	Whilst it mentions that information held	ensure it provides		next few months	Partners, Secretariat and
	on USB drives is the property of HCPC,	more detail on the			Policy departments. The
	it does not mention HCPC's specific	use of USB data			software is now being
	policy in respect of these tools. For	drives.			deployed as part of the
	example, the responsibilities of Staff				Windows 7 PC upgrade
	using USB drives, that only encrypted				to the whole of the

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
				recommendations Audit Committee	DD: None	RD: None
				13 March 2013		

drives can be used, what USBs should	organisation and is
be used for and the security of these.	expected to conclude
	early in the new financial
We were informed that the Policy is	year.
currently being reviewed and should be	
in place from September 2011.	The IT Policy has been
	through a legal review
Risk: Staff are not fully aware of their	and will be presented on
responsibilities in respect of the use of	March 26 to EMT; it will
USB data drives.	then be presented to the
	Finance and Resources
	committee at the next
	meeting in either April or
	June 2013.

Follow up of previous recommendations (report dated September 2011 – considered at Audit Committee 29 September 2011)

	Observation/ Risk	Original category	Original management response and update response as of September 2011	Implementatio n date and manager responsible	Status	Comments/ implication	New recommendation
1	Management	Medium	Agreed. The system	Sept 11	The	The implementation	Management should
	should complete		changes are		agreed	date for this	complete the steps
	the steps		required for both	Director of	date for	recommendation	necessary towards
	necessary by		PRS and Sage to	Finance	implement	had not yet been	removing the option for
	September 2011		ensure that the full		ation of the	reached at the time	individuals to follow
	towards removing		benefits are realised		recommen	of carrying out this	manual procedures
	the option for		and to ensure cross		dation has	audit. However, the	when raising supplier
	individuals to		product		not yet	upgrades required	purchase

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
				recommendations Audit Committee	DD: None	RD: None
				13 March 2013		

	follow manual procedures when raising supplier purchase orders.	compatibility. This should be implemented in the FY 2011/12, subject to budget approval.		been reached	have been delayed until next year. HCPC are currently undergoing several projects involving systems upgrades including major projects relating to Case Management and Fitness to Practice in anticipation of taking over responsibilities relating to GSCC and these have been prioritised.	Updated management comment: The procurement, requisitions and purchases procedures will be reconsidered to include a revised tendering policy and proposals for the supplier database A procurement and tendering update will be presented to the Finance and Resources Committee on 19 March 2013. Recruitment is underway for a Procurement Manager.
--	---	--	--	-----------------	---	--

Core Financial Systems – Payroll (report dated September 2011 – considered at Audit Committee 29 September 2011)

Assurance on effectiveness of internal controls: Substantial Assurance

Recommendations summary

Priority Number of recommendations

Fundamental None Significant None

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
				recommendations Audit Committee	DD: None	RD: None
				13 March 2013		

Housekeeping

3

Risk 3: Financial losses arising from fraud or error, inefficient processing or inappropriate activity (such as ghost employees, payment of staff who no longer work at the Council, authorised payments, etc)

	Observation/Risk	Recommendation	Priority	Management response	Timescale/ responsibility
2	Observation: Finance receive an HR Pack on a monthly basis which includes the HR Summary spreadsheet and relevant supporting documentation detailing starters; leavers; contractual variations; acting-up allowances; changes to address etc. Whilst our review confirmed that this information was received by Finance, in a timely manner and before the deadline of the 15th of the month, as there is currently no direct interface between the HR Systems and Sage, the information has to be entered again on to Sage. It is noted that a review of the HR system is planned to be undertaken. Risk: Holding two databases with staff details and duplication of data entry are unlikely to be an efficient use of resources.	As part of the planned review of the HR system, consideration should be given to a more effective interface between the HR and Payroll systems to avoid duplication in entry of data.	Housekeeping	Project proposal to review HR & partners information systems, including link to payroll to be submitted to Executive team in November 2011. If agreed will form part of 2012/13 project plan.	Director of Finance/ HR Director. Timescales pending outcome of Executive Team meeting November 2011 Update: HR & Partners Systems & Process Review Phase 1 is due to take place between 01/04/13 - 31/12/13

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
				recommendations Audit Committee	DD: None	RD: None
				13 March 2013		

Errors are more likely to arise where		
data is re-keyed.		

ICT Security (report dated November 2012 – considered at Audit Committee 28 November 2012)

Assurance on effectiveness of internal controls: Substantial Assurance

Recommendations summary

Priority Number of recommendations

Fundamental None Significant None Housekeeping 3

Risk 1: Electronic data is removed inappropriately by an employee (Data Security – Risk No 17.1)

Risk 2: Malicious damage from unauthorised access (Information Technology – Risk No 5.5)

	Observation/Risk	Recommendation	Priority	Management response	Timescale/ responsibility
1	Observation: An Information Technology Policy is documented as part of the staff handbook. The policy covers a number of standard including acceptable use, the ownership of systems, security over passwords and the monitoring mechanisms in place. Users are required to sign-up to this policy on joining the organisation as part of the awareness of the wider	As planned, HCPC should review and update the Information Technology Policy held within the Employee Handbook to ensure it provides more detail on the	Housekeeping	The IT policy is being reviewed as part of the 2012-13 IT Work Plan.	Director of IT Update: The USB controls are in operation in the Registration, FTP, Partners, Secretariat and Policy departments. The software is now being deployed as part of

L	Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
ſ	2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
					recommendations Audit Committee 13 March 2013	DD: None	RD: None

	handbook. However there are some matters which require review and the policy is currently in the process of being updated. The Director of ICT has liaised with a number of similar organisations in the sector to obtain their IT Security policies to benchmark against. Risk: Policy in place does not reflect current practice, intention or controls.	use of USB data drives and reflects current technologies and policy on the use of IT.			the Windows 7 PC upgrade to the whole of the organisation and is expected to conclude early in the new financial year. The IT Policy has been through a legal review and will be presented on March 26 to EMT; it will then be presented to the Finance and Resources committee at the next meeting in either April or June 2013.
2	Observation: Penetration testing of both Infrastructure and Applications is carried out by a third party contractor, NCC Group, on a quarterly basis but from an external only perspective. The recent reports indicate overall good security practices are implemented across the majority of the external network infrastructure and the latest report dated July 2012 highlights no high or medium level vulnerabilities in either the application or supporting infrastructure. However, as yet no penetration testing	HCPC should consider undertaking penetration testing from an internal perspective to provide a full assessment of the environment and confirm all internal controls are operating as expected.	Housekeeping	Penetration testing from an internal perspective will be considered as part of the 2013-14 IT Work Plan.	Director of IT Update: Penetration testing from an internal perspective is being considered as part of the 2013-14 IT workplan due to be presented to the Finance and Resources Committee on 19 March 2013

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
				recommendations Audit Committee	DD: None	RD: None
				13 March 2013		

	has been conducted from an internal perspective inside the business. Given the broadly clean bill of health from the externally facing infrastructure, testing of the internal infrastructure and risks internally would be the next logical step in ensuring the security of the network. Risk: Internal penetration risks exist which put the control environment at risk.				
3	Observation: There has been no exchange of data between HCPC and the system supplier for 'NetRegulate', Digital Steps Limited (DSL) that can be remembered by IT Staff. Controls and expectations are outlined in the contracted arrangements between the two parties and these were reinforced by letter in 2010. A secure facility, known as 'Jump and Dump' has also been established to provide secure access for the suppler and to prevent the supplier removing data without the express permission of HCPC. However, despite both of these controls there is no formal mechanism to confirm destruction of data should it be required. Risk: Confidential data exists outside the control of HCPC and at risk of	In the event that live data is exchanged in the future then HCPC should request written confirmation from DSL that the data has been destroyed once no longer required.	Housekeeping	DSL currently only hold HCPC data that has been anonymised. In future where projects require data in its original form, i.e. not anonymised, then we will request written confirmation that data has been deleted following the closure of the project it was intended for.	Update: written confirmation that data has been deleted will be sought in future when projects close.

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
				recommendations Audit Committee	DD: None	RD: None
				13 March 2013		

unauthorised usage or access.		

Income Collection & Debtors (report dated September 2012 – considered at Audit Committee 28 November 2012)

Assurance on effectiveness of internal controls: Substantial Assurance

Recommendations summary

Priority Number of recommendations

Fundamental None Significant None Housekeeping 3

Risk 1: Inability to collect from debtors (Finance – Risk No 15.6)

	Observation/Risk	Recommendation	Priority	Management response	Timescale/ responsibility
1	Observation: Currently, all payments received by cheque, postal order and cash are initially processed on NetRegulate by Registration Advisors and then all information is transferred to Finance – Transactions team for checking and processing the following day. The same cheque/postal order is reviewed and checked twice (once in Registrations when it is input to NetRegulate, and once in Finance as	Consideration should be given to reviewing the processes for checking and banking of income received by cheque, postal order and credit /debit card to ensure that the	Housekeeping	We agree that the way this process is currently handled is not the most efficient and consideration will be given to ways of eliminating the duplication of tasks.	October 2012 Director of Finance/Head of Registration Improvements to this system are now a part of the HCPC project prioritisation plan.
	part of the daily banking). It is not clear	most efficient			Timescale: Net
	that the checking of each cheque/postal	process is in			Regulate changes

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of recommendations Audit Committee	Final DD: None	Public RD: None
				13 March 2013	DD. None	ND. None

Issue: Timing difference at	Currently, this has	Housekeeping	NetRegulate process	Head of
Risk: Duplication of effort resulting in inefficient use of resources.				
same day – thereby reducing problems around cut-off at the end of each month.				
and processed in Finance – Transactions, but could be banked the				
until the following day to be checked				
transactions processed on NetRegulate by Registrations would not have to wait				
also speed up the processing, such that				
in Finance – Transactions and would				
errors. Such a role would reduce or remove the need for additional checks				
efficient and less likely to produce				
Registration process may be more				
credit/debit card payments and not dealing with other parts of the				
dealing with cheques, postal orders and				
Therefore a 'cashier' role specifically				
matters relating to their registration.				
advising registrants and applicants on	Cashler fole.			
Registration Advisors who primarily deal with processing application forms and	potential for a 'Cashier' role.			
orders is not the main role of	Finance and the			
The checking of cheques and postal	Registrations and			
check performed by Registrations.	performed by			
much value in addition to the original	current checking			
order in Finance, which may take a considerable amount of time, adds	place. For example, the			2012-13 01/01/13 - 31/03/13

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
				recommendations Audit Committee	DD: None	RD: None
				13 March 2013		

month end date – On the last working day of the month, transactions are posted by the Registration team on NetRegulate which are not processed by the Finance team until the following day. Recommendation: Finance team to work with Registration team to ensure that items posted on last working day of month in NetRegulate are also processed on the same day Issue: Correction Adjustments - where a	been resolved by members of the Finance — Transactions team staying late at month-end to ensure transactions are processed the same day. As planned, a	changes are being developed by DSL to enable us to produce a monthly report to show exactly what is being processed at month end. As part of the automated	Financial Accounting These changes are now a part of the HCPC project prioritisation plan. Timescale: Net Regulate changes 2012-13 01/01/13 - 31/03/13 December 2012
registrant's record is updated using a correction adjustment, the treatment of the way the record is accounted for differs depending on the reason. A main cause of difference has been identified as re-admission reverse charges which are not shown on the transfer report. Recommendation: As a temporary work around going forward, Finance team to obtain a DBA Visualizer (based on an SQL query) report from NetRegulate at month end and manually adjust any mis-postings in Sage. A NetRegulate change request will be created to amend NetRegulate to automatically take account of these	solution involving updating the NetRegulate system to automatically take account of these transactions should be implemented.	Readmission project, reversal readmission charges will no longer be posted. This change will remove this issue.	Project team Update: The automated readmissions project is currently due for completion on 31/01/13

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
				recommendations Audit Committee	DD: None	RD: None
1	1		1	13 March 2013	1	

		T	
transactions as a			
permanent solution. This will form			
part of the NetRegulate change			
request process.			
Reports are currently			
obtained from NetRegulate			
at month-end and manual			
adjustments are made to			
correct readmission charges			
on individual registrants'			
records which have been			
incorrectly applied and a			
reversing journal is posted.			
A project is currently			
underway to address the			
issue of NetRegulate			
incorrectly applying the			
readmission fee within the			
four week window where the			
readmission fee is not			
chargeable.			

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2013-03-01	а	AUD	PPR	Executive summary review of	Final	Public
				recommendations Audit Committee	DD: None	RD: None
				13 March 2013		