# **Risk Register**

Marc Seale, Chief Executive & Registrar Report to Audit Committee, 16th March 2011





Date: 2010-12-09 Ver: n Dept/Cmte: ADT

Doc Type: STRAT
Title: RiskRegisterFeb2011

# Health Professions Council Risk Register February 2011 Risk Assessment

Contents	Page
Contents page	2
Top 10 HPC risks	3
Changes since last published	4
Strategic risks	5
Communications risks	7
Continuing Professional Development (CPD) risks	24
Corporate Governance risks	8
Data Security risks	23
Education risks	11
Finance risks	19,20,21
Fitness to Practise risks	17
HR risks	15
Information Technology risks	9
Legal risks	16
Operations risks	6
Partner risks	10
Pensions risks	22
Policy & Standards risks	18
Project Management risks	12
Quality Management risks	13
Registration risks	14
Appendix i Glossary and Abbreviations	26
Appendix ii HPC Risk Matrix	27
HPC Risk Matrix terms detail	28

Date: 2010-09-03

Ver: m

Dept/Cmte: ADT

Page 2 Doc Type: STRAT Title: RiskRegisterFeb2011

Status: Draft Security: Public

# Top 10 Risks (High & Medium after mitigation)

# **Historic Risk Scores**

	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	CURRENT RISK SCORE
2.7	Interuption to electricity supply	Facilities Manager	Relocate to other buildings on site	If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan		High
13.3	Tribunal exceptional costs, FTP, Registrations and CPD Appeals	FTP Director	Quality of legal advice	Quality of operational processes	Legal insurance covering exceptional High Court and Judicial Review costs	High
1.5	Loss of reputation	Chief Executive	Quality of operational procedures	Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy		Medium
2.4	Inability to communicate via postal services (e.g. Postal strikes)	Facilities Manager	Use of other media including Website, newsletter & email and courier services	Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan	Collection of >80% income fees by DD	Medium
2.11	Basement flooding	Facilities Manager	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress			Medium
12.1	Judicial review of HPC's implimentation of HPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance	Chief Executive	Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought		Medium
15.21	Financial distress of trade suppliers causes loss of service	Finance Director	Financial monitoring of key suppliers via Dun & Bradstreet	Escrow agreements	Alternative suppliers	Medium

ept 010 isk	Feb 2010 Risk	Sept 2009 Risk	Feb 2009 Risk
High	High	High	High
High	High	High	High
<b>l</b> ledium	Medium	Medium	-
Medium	Medium	Medium	Low
Medium	Medium	Medium	-
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium

Page 3 Doc Type: STRAT Title: RiskRegisterSept2010

## RISK ASSESSMENT February 2011

Operations

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations February 2011	Likelihood before mitigations February 2011	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	Mitigation	RISK score after Mitigation September 2010
2	Operations	2.1	Inability to occupy premises or use interior equipment	Facilities Manager	4	2	8		Commercial combined insurance cover (fire, contents, terrorism etc)	-	Low	Low
		2.2	numbers	Chief Executive and EMT	3	5	15	Scaleable business processes and scalable IT systems to support them	Influence the rate at which new professions are regulated		Low	Low
			Links to 1.2, 13.4		_			ISO 9001 Registration, process maps, well	Hire temporary staff to clear service			
		2.3	Unacceptable service standards	Director of Operations	5	4	20		backlogs		Low	Low
			Links to 9.1, 10.4 Inability to communicate via									
		2.4	postal services (e.g. Postal strikes)	Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Use of other media including Website, newsletter & email and courier services	Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan	Collection of >80% income fees by DD	Medium	Medium
		2.5	Public transport disruption leading to inability to use Park House	Facilities Manager & Hd Bus Proc	4	5	20		Make arrangements for staff to work at home if possible	-	Low	Low
		2.6	Inability to accommodate HPC employees	Facilities Manager	4	3	12	Ongoing Space planning	Additional premises purchase or rented		Low	Low
			Links to 5.2									
		2.7	Interruption to electricity supply	Facilities Manager	4	4	16		If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan		High	High
		2.8	Interruption to gas supply	Facilities Manager	1	2	2	Temporary heaters to impacted areas			Low	Low
		2.9	Interruption to water supply	Facilities Manager	2	2	4		Temporarily reduce headcount to align with legislation	Invoke DR plan if over 24 hrs	Low	Low
		2.10	Telephone system failure causing protracted service outage	Director of IT	4	3	12		Backup of the configuration for both the ACD and PABX	Diverse routing for the physical telephone lines from the two exchanges with different media types	Low	Low
		2.11	Basement flooding	Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress			Medium	Medium

## RISK ASSESSMENT February 2011

Corporate Governance

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations February 2011	Likelihood before mitigations February 2011	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation February 2011	RISK score after Mitigation September 2010
4	Corporate Governance	4.1	Council inability to make decisions	Secretary to Council	3	1	3	Regular meetings, agendas and clear lines of accountability between Council and committees		Attendance by external professionals as required	Low	Low
			Links to 4.4									
		4.2	Council members conflict of interest	Chair	4	4	16	Disclosure of members' interests to the Secretariat and ongoing Council & committee agenda item	Disclosure of conflict of interest in the Annual Report & on the HPC website	Member induction and training	Low	Low
		4.3	Poor decision-making eg conflicting advice or conflicting advice and decisions	Chair	4	1	4	Well-researched & drafted decision papers, Clear lines of accountability and scheme of delegation	Chair's involvement in the appointments process for lay members, induction and relevant training	Attendance by external professionals, as required.	Low	Low
		4.4	Failure to meet Council/Committee quorums	Secretary to Council	4	3	12	Clear communication of expectations of Council members' duties upfront	Adequate processes notifying Council & committee members of forthcoming meetings prior to meeting icluding confirmation of attendance	Committee secretaries and chairmen advised that inquorate meetings must not proceed	Low	Low
		4.5	Links to 4.1 Members' poor performance	Chair	4	1	4	Chair's annual appraisal of Council members	Training & support at Away Days and Inductions	Removal under Sch 1, Para 9(1)(f) of the HPO 2001	Low	Low
		4.6	Poor performance by the Chair	Council	5	1	5	Appointment against competencies	Power to remove the Chair under Sch 1, Article 12(1) C of the HPO 2001	-	Low	Low
		4.7	Poor performance by Chief Executive	Chair	5	1	5	Performance reviews and regular "one to ones" with the Chair	Contract of Employment	-	Low	Low
		4.8	Improper financial incentives offered to Council members/employees	Chair and Chief Executive	4	2	8	Gifts & Inducements policy	Council member code of conduct	Induction training re:adherence to Nolan principles	Low	Low
		4.9	Failure to insure the Health & Safety of Council Members	Secretary to Council & Facilities Manager	4	2	8	Safety briefing at start of each Council or Committee meeting.	H&S information on Council Extranet	Personal Injury and Travel insurance	Low	Low
			Links to 6.3, 11.5									
		4.10	Member recruitment problem (with the requisite skills)	Chair	4	2	8	Maintenance of a detailed role description for these positional applicants on to HPC or its committees	Use of the Appointments Commission or Commissioner to recruit new members	Use of the Office of Public Appointments for advice (on recruitment of the requisite skills)	Low	Low
			Links to 6.1, 11.13	·	-		-			·		
		4.11	Expense claim abuse by members	Secretary to Council	4	2	8	Members Code of Conduct (public office)	Clear and comprehensive policies posted on the Council member Extranet and made clear during induction	Budget holder review and authorisation procedures	Low	Low
		4.12	Operationalise Section 60 legislation	Council	5	2	10	Scheme of delegation	MIS	EMT & CDT	Low	Low
					•							

## RISK ASSESSMENT February 2011

**Project Management** 

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations February 2011	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation February 2011	RISK score after Mitigation September 2010
8	Project Management	8.1		Director of Finance Project Portfolio Manager	3	3	9	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders		Low	Low
			Links to 1.1, 15.3									
		8.2		Project Lead Project Portfolio Manager	5	1	5	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders		Low	Low
			Links to 1.1, 15.3									
		8.5	Stannary St project - Phase Two	Facilities Manager	3	1	3	Detailed planning, design and quantity surveying of costs	Project progress monitored by EMT		Low	Low
		8.8	requirements of the Vetting &	Director of Fitness to Practise, Project Portfolio Manager	5	2	10	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders		Low	Low
		8.9	Failure to deliver a strategic view of FTP Case Management	Director of FTP Project Portfolio Manager	3	3	9	Conduct Research project	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Low	Low
	Project closed, to be removed Feb 2011	8.10	Failure to successfully open the Hearing Aid Practitioner register	Director of Operations, Project Portfolio Manager	5	3	15	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders		Low	Low
		8.11	Counsellors & Psychotherapist	Director of Operations, Project Portfolio Manager	5	3	15	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders		Low	Low
	NEW	8.12	Failure to successfully open the Social Worker register	Director of Operations, Project Portfolio Manager	5	3	15	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders		Low	•

## RISK ASSESSMENT February 2011

# Policy & Standards

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations February 2011	Likelihood before mitigations February 2011	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation February 2011	RISK score after Mitigation September 2010
14	Policy & Standards	14.1	Incorrect process followed to establish stds/guidance/policy eg no relevant Council decision	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8		Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Quality mgt system & processes	Low	Low
			Links to 12.1									
		14.2	Inappropriate stds/guidance published eg stds are set at inappropriate level, are too confusing or are conflicting	Council/committees	4	1	4		Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Consultation with stakeholders & legal advice sought	Low	Low
		14.3	Changing/evolving legal advice rendering previous work inappropriate	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8	Use of well-qualified legal professionals. Regular reviews.	Legal advice obtained in writing.	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team and others eg HR.	Low	Low
		14.4	Inadequate preparation for a change in legislation (Health Professions Order, or other legislation affecting HPC)	ЕМТ	3	1	3	EMT responsible for remaining up to date relationships with governemnt depts and agencies.	HPC's 5 year planning process	Legal advice sought	Low	Low
		14.5	requisit skills and knowledge	Policy & Stds Director HPC Chair, Secretary to Council(?)	4	1	4	Skills and knowledge identified in work plan	Recruitment policy	Council Scrutiny of PLG result	Low	Low
			Lnks to 4.10									

Date: 2010-09-03 Ver: m Dept/Cmte: ADT Page 18 Doc Type: STRAT Title: RiskRegisterSept2010

## RISK ASSESSMENT February 2011

Finance

managing the ongoing mitigations mitigations Impact x Mitigation Mitigation Mitigation													
18 Personal 152 Martines can from the martines of minimal properties of the company of the compa	Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing	mitigations	mitigations	Impact x	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	Mitigation	RISK score after Mitigation September 2010
Second	15	Finance	15.1		Finance Director	5	1	5	to meet ongoing needs and comply with the Reserves policy. Effective management of	Regular cash forecasts and reviews	forecasting of income (volumes & fees) and costs. Fee rises an DoH grant applications as	Low	Low
Description of the registration of the second secon													
So May Proper Gald Own-runs Popel Land / PMT			15.2	Unexpected rise in operating expenses	EMT	3	1	3	and managing them. Timely monthly reporting and regular budget holder reviews held. Finance & Resources Committee review of the	spending plan revisions as feasible and	cases. Capped FTP legal case	Low	Low
Second Continued and Procession and Procession funds management involving procession funds management with a district control of procession funds management with and provided for procession management with a fund for procession management with a fund for procession management with process or page of procession management with procession management wit			15.3		Project Lead / EMT	4	2	8	decision points. Effective project management and timely project progress reporting (financial	budget. Project exception reports including revised funding proposal is	Committee review of the project spendng variances to	Low	Low
Section Coach from Coach Section Coach Figure 1   Section Coach from Coach Section Coach Figure 1   Section Coach from Figure 1   Section Fig			15.4	Loss in value of investment fund	Finance Director	2	5	10	diversification (by asset class, market, sector)		in the investment portfolio (£1.4M), compared to money market (£5M) and property investments held (£3M). Approx	Low	Low
Second Companies   Paraco Director   Finance Director   Society	+			Links to 15.17							10% of Annual Income value.		
Low			15.5		Finance Director	5	2	10	regular review of aged creditors listing and		suppliers with bank account details and payment terms	Low	Low
15.6   Inability to collect from diebtors   Finance Director   5   2   10     Description in opportunities of the proportional plant of the requirement of the requ				Links to 15.1									
Parameter   Para			15.6	Inability to collect from debtors	Finance Director	5	2	10		Registrant debtors policy compliance	DD's. Periodic reviews and	Low	Low
Separation   Properties   Pro				Links to 15.1									1
15.8 Receipt of tee income as per collection schedule  15.9 Mismatch between Council goals A approved financial budgets or collection schedule  15.9 Mismatch between Council goals A approved financial budgets or collection schedule  15.9 Mismatch between Council goals A approved financial budgets or collection schedule  15.10 Unauthorised payments to organisations  15.10 Unauthorised payments to organisations  15.10 Unauthorised payments to organisations  15.11 Unauthorised payments to preferred and one of suppliers. Regular audits. Pro-forms have system pre-set approval routes. Regular audits. Whisteblowing policy.  15.11 Unauthorised payments to preferred and one of suppliers. Regular audits. Pro-forms have system pre-set approval routes. Regular audits. Whisteblowing policy.  15.12 Unauthorised removal of assets (custody issue)  15.13 Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)  15.14 Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)  15.15 Receipt of tee income as per productions children in place charging receipts) including person cover extensive in place charging receipts) including person cover extensive method and regular communication between the Executive. Council and its Committees.  15.15 Production of the budgets process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: contingency amount their during the budget process with caps: co			15.7		Finance Director	3	1	3	Finance dept - Streamline to Netregulate and	paper records from archive, rationalise records kept and retain sensitive current		Low	Low
Societion schedule													
Security			15.8		Finance Director	3	3	9			-	Low	Low
15.10   Unauthorised payments to organisations   Finance Director   3   2   6   Requirement for the relevant signed PO's and invoices to support payments to preferred and one off suppliers. Regular audits. PRS PO's off suppliers. Regular audits. PRS PO's and invoice signatory list. PRS PO's of suppliers. Regular audits. PRS PO's of loss   Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss   Low of loss			15.9		Chief Executive	4	2	8			criteria during the budget process with capex contingency	Low	Low
15.10 Unauthorised payments to organisations  Finance Director  3 2 6 invoices to support payments to preferred and one off suppliers. Regular audits. Pro-forma invoice signatory list. PRS POS for pave system pre-set approval routes. Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.  Page 19  15.11 Unauthorised payments to preferred and one off suppliers. Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.  Finance Director  3 3 3 9 Effective expense claim and payroll authorisation processes. Segregation of duties.  Links to 5.3  Low Links to 5.3				Links to 1.1									
15.11   Unauthorised payments to personnel   15.11   Unauthorised payments to personnel   15.12   University to personnel   15.12   Unauthorised removal of assets (custody issue)   15.13   Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)   Finance Director   4   3   12   Minimial use of manual chqs. Segregation of duties authorisation processes. Segregation of duties   Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy. (Iraud) insurance for first \$100k of (fraud) insurance for first \$100k of (fraud) insurance for first \$100k of (season)   15.12   Unauthorised removal of assets (custody issue)   15.13   Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)   Finance Director   4   3   12   Minimial use of manual chqs. Segregation of duties (preparation and signing). Two shidled of file. Monthly bank reconciliations. Whistleblowing policy.   Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first \$100k of loss. Computer asset insurance.   15.13   Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)   Finance Director   4   3   12   Minimial use of manual chqs. Segregation of duties (preparation and signing). Two shidled of file. Monthly bank reconciliations. Whistleblowing policy.   Insurance for first \$100k of loss. Computer asset   15.13   Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)   Finance Director   4   3   12   Minimial use of manual chqs. Segregation of duties (preparation and signing). Two shidled of file. Monthly bank reconciliations. Whistleblowing policy.   Insurance for first \$100k of loss   15.13   Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)   Insurance for first \$100k   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13   15.13			15.10	organisations	Finance Director	3	2	6	invoices to support payments to preferred and one off suppliers. Regular audits. Pro-forma invoice register. Segregation of duties.	order and invoice signatory list. PRS PO's have system pre-set approval routes.	(fraud) insurance for first £100k	Low	Low
15.11 Unauthorised payrieritis to personnel   Finance Director   3   3   9   Effective experise claim and payroin personnel   Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.   (fraud) insurance for first £100k   Low				Links to 5.3					Page 19		Destancianal Indonesia C.C.L.C.		
15.12 Unauthorised removal of assets (custody issue)  15.13 Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)  Finance Director  4  3  2  6  IT asset labeling & asset logging (issuance to employees)  Fixed Asset register itemising assets. Job exit procedures (to recover HPC laptops, blackberries, mobile phones etc). Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.  Low Low duties (preparation and signing). Two significance project of the procedure (forgery)  Finance Director  4  3  12  Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)  Finance Director  4  3  12  Minimial use of manual chqs. Segregation of duding labeling (signing). Two significance project of one off supplier cheques (forgery) and reconciliations. Whistleblowing policy.  Whistleblowing policy.  Whistleblowing policy.  Whistleblowing policy.  Whistleblowing policy.  Whistleblowing policy.			15.11	personnel	Finance Director	3	3	9		Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.	(fraud) insurance for first £100k	Low	Low
15.13 Mis-signing of cheques (forgery) Finance Director 4 3 duties (preparation and signing). Two held on file. Monthly bank reconcilitations. (fraud) insurance for first £100k Low Low Whistlabhywing notice.			15.12	Unauthorised removal of assets	Facilities Manager	3	2	6		exit procedures (to recover HPC laptops, blackberries, mobile phones etc). Regular	(fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss. Computer asset	Low	Low
		Date: 2010-09-0		Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)	Finance Director	4	3	12	duties (preparation and signing). Two	held on file. Monthly bank reconciliations.	(fraud) insurance for first £100k	Low	Low

Ver: m Dept/Cmte: ADT Doc Type: STRAT
Title: RiskRegisterSept2010

## RISK ASSESSMENT February 2011

	าล		

Re	Category	Ref#	Description Links to 5.3	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations February 2011	Likelihood before mitigations February 2011	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation February 2011	RISK score after Mitigation September 2010
		15.14	Non compliance with FReM reporting	Finance Director	3	1	3	Periodic reviews of HM Treasury and NAO information updates. Technical updates from CA firms. Clarifications sought, as required.	Employee training	Auditor feedback early in Annual Report preparation process.	Low	Low
		15.15	Links to 1.2  Qualified opinion received by the Auditors on the Statutory Financial Statements	Finance Director	5	1	5	Timely accrual postings supported by source documentation. Internal control compliance (regularity of spending). Audit findings compliance.	FReM compliance & timely expert valuations eg investment funds, land and buildings	Reliable financial systems. Income, Expense & Balance Sheet Reconciliation's. Matching Sage TB to Mgt Accs & Mgt Accs to Statutory Financial Statements	Low	Low
		15.16	Late submission of the Annual Report, beyond sector standards	Secretary to Council	3	1	3	Upfront agreement on the Year End and Annual Report reporting process dates. Committee approval of the Audit Plan(s).	Effective process management	-	Low	Low
$\vdash$	1		Links to 15.1								1	+
		15.17	Professional Fund Manager insolvency or fraud	Finance Director	2	1	2	Client monies (HPC) contractually separated from fund manager own holdings. Monthly itemised valuations for all investments held, bought and sold.	Periodic credit rating checks of fund manager firms used	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss by supplier acting on HPC's behalf.	Low	Low
		15.18	PAYE/NI compliance	Finance Director	3	2	6	Effective payroll process management. Payroll system tax deductions set up using valid tax codes. Tax provisions made and tax returns filed on a timely basis.	Signed disclosure forms indicating tax category status for all Council and Committee members. Professional tax advice sought, including status of CCM's and partners	Tax Provisions maintained for legacy PAYE/NI payable relating to Council and Committee members. PAYE Settlement Agreement also being sought from HMRC relating to Category One Council and Committee members.	Low	Low
		15.19	Corporate tax compliance (tax due on investment income only)	Finance Director	3	1	3	Preparation and filing of the Corporation Tax return (CT600 form) following determination of Corporate tax liability during Annual Report process.	Professional tax advice sought e.g. Corporate Tax Return preparation (including capital allowance claims) and filling.		Low	Low
		15.20	Money market provider insolvency or fraud	Finance Director	5	2	10	Funds diversification - money market funds spread across three mainstream UK money market institutions, independently owned with at least an 'AA minus' credit rating	FSA insurance for proven financial loss of up to £50k of funds held per UK financial institution.	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss by supplier acting on HPC's behalf.	Low	Low
								Page 20				
		15.21	Financial distress of trade suppliers causes loss of service	Finance Director	4	4	16	Financial monitoring of key suppliers via Dun & Bradstreet	Escrow agreements	Alternative suppliers	Medium	Medium
		15.22	Payroll process delay or failure	Finance Director	5	2	10	Agreed monthly payroll process timetable (with slack built in). Person cover for the payroll administrator (system access and documented procedures). If process delayed, payment may be made by CHAPS (same day payment), cash or cheque.	Restoration of overnight backup files for Sage Payroll system (software application and transactions)	Hard copy records held securely. Restricted system access.	Low	Low
	NEW	15.23	CHRE full cost recovery model places excessive pressure on HPC April 2013 onwards	Chief Executive & Finance Director			0					
			Model not yet quantified by DH or	CHRE								

Page 21

## RISK ASSESSMENT February 2011

Pensions

Ref	Category	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Februiary 2011	Likelihood before mitigations February 2011	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	Mitigation	RISK score after Mitigation September 2010
16	Pensions	16.1	CPSM scheme funding liability resulting from scheme valuation deficit	Finance Director	2	3	6	management involving diversification until conversion into beneficiary annuities. Scheme	actuarial valuation of the fund to identify whether pension assets will cover pension liabilities. Make financial provisions where	Monitor the winding up schedule with the scheme trustees and administrators. Seek secialist pensions legal advice as required	Low	Low
			Links to 15.1, 15.5									
		16.2	Non compliance with pensions legislation	Finance Director	4	2	8	Notional membership by six scheme members to avoid triggering s75 liability before scheme closure	Liaision with with scheme trustees and administrators.	Seek specialist pensions legal advice as required.	Low	Low
			Links to 15.1, 15.5									
		16.3	Capita Flexiplan funding liability resulting from scheme valuation deficiency	Finance Director	4	4	16	are under professional funds management	actuarial valuation of the fund to identify whether pension assets will cover pension liabilities. Make a financial provision where a shortfall is indicated and the HPC's portion of the shortfall is	Monitor actions of the Employers' Consultative Group in working with the scheme trustees and administgrators to wind up the Flexiplan scheme. Seek specialist pensions legal advice as required.	Low	Low

Date: 2010-09-03 Ver: m Dept/Cmte: ADT Page 22 Doc Type: STRAT Title: RiskRegisterSept2010

# Appendix i

# **Glossary & Abbreviations**

Term Meaning

AGM Annual General Meeting

CDT Cross Directorate Team (formerly HPC's Middle Management Group)

CHRE Council for Healthcare Regulatory Excellence

CPD Continuing Professional Development

EEA European Economic Area, = European Economic Union, plus Norway, Iceland, plus for our purposes Switzerland

EMT HPC's Executive Management Team

EU European Economic Union (formerly known as the "Common Market")

FReM Financial Reporting Manual

FTP Fitness to Practise GP Grandparenting

HPO Health Professions Order
HR Human Resources

HW Abbreviation for computer hardware

Impact The result of a particular event, threat or opportunity occurring. Scored between 1 least effect on HPC and 5 maximum effect on HPC.

ISO International Standards Organisation (the global governing body for the Quality standards used by HPC)

ISO 9001:2008 The ISO Quality Management Standard used by HPC.

IT Information Technology

Likelihood Used to mean Probability of the event or issue occurring within the next 12 months

MIS Management Information System

NetRegulate The bespoke computer application used to manage the application, registration and renewal processes, and publish the online register

OIC Order in Council

Onboarding The process of bringing a new profession into statuatory regulation from HPC's viewpoint

OPS Operations

PLG Professional Liason Group

Print UK A supplier of printing and insertion/mailing services to HPC

Probability Likelihood, chance of occurring. Not the "mathematical" probability. Scored between 1 least likely and 5 most likely to occur within the next year.

QMS Quality Management System, used to record and publish HPC's agreed management processes

Risk An uncertain event/s that could occur and have an impact on the achievement of objectives

Risk Score Likelihood x Impact or Probability x Significance

SI Statutory Instrument
Significance Broadly similar to Impact

SSFS Scheme Specific Funding Standard, a set of standards relating to pensions services

STDS Standards

SW Abbreviation for computer software

VPN Virtual Private Network, a method of securely accessing computer systems via the public internet

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Sigma \text{ post mitigation Impact} & \text{The residual impact after all mitigations have been taken into account} \\ \Sigma \text{ post mitigation Likelihood} & \text{The residual likelihood after all mitigations have been taken into account} \end{array}$ 

Date: 2010-09-03

Ver: m

Dept/Cmte: ADT

Page 26
Doc Type: STRAT
Title: RiskRegisterSept2010

#### Appendix ii

IMPACT

HPC RISK MATRIX

**Public Protection** Financial Reputation Catastrophic 5 Catastrophic 5 Catastrophic 5 systematic failure for which HPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where 15 Unfunded pressures greater than 5 10 20 25 ncompetence/ maladministration or other ever mitigation was expected. that will destroy public trust or a key relationship £1 million Significant 4 Significant 4 Significant 4 A systematic failure for which HPC are ultimately responsible Incompetence/ maladministration that will 8 12 16 20 for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where Unfunded pressures greater than ndermine public trust or a key relationship for mitigation was expected. £50,000 sustained period or at a critical moment. Moderate 3 Moderate 3 Moderate 3 A systemic failure for which HPC are ultimately responsible fo Incompetence/ maladministration that will 6 9 undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn 3 12 15 exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigatio Unfunded pressures greater than £8,000 was expected. Minor 2 A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for 2 4 6 8 10 Event that will lead to widespread public Unfunded pressures over £2,000 individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases Insignificant 1 Insignificant 1 Insignificant 1 2 3 4 5 A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational Event that will lead to public criticism by extern Unfunded pressures over £1,000 requirement stakeholders as anticipated. Unlikely 3 Negligible1 Rare 2 Possible 4 Probable 5 KEY Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment. May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy. Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy. Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years. "Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later. >11 High Risk: Urgent action required Likely to occur in the life-cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once. Extremely infrequent—
unlikely to happen in a
strategic environment or
occur during a project or
programmes lifecycle. May
occur once a year or so in
an operational environment. Likely to happen in the licycle of the programme of the project. Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project. May Programme occur during the life of programme or project. 6-10 Medium Risk: Some action required / Project e er Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment. The thappen Does May well happen of monthly basis. May well happen on weekly basis. s not happen often e e every six months. Operational e threat is likely t in almost every o <5 Low Risk: Ongoing monitoring required

LIKELIHOOD

day

# **RISK MATRIX DEFINITIONS**

# IMPACT TYPES

Public Protection	Financial	Reputation		
Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5		
A systematic failure for which HPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministration or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship		
Significant 4	Significant 4	Significant 4		
A systematic failure for which HPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £50,000	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.		
Moderate 3	Moderate 3	Moderate 3		
A systemic failure for which HPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £8,000	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn		
Minor 2	Minor 2	Minor 2		
A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Unfunded pressures over £2,000	Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.		
Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1		
A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Unfunded pressures over £1,000	Event that will lead to public criticism by external stakeholders as anticipated.		

# LIKELIHOOD AREAS

Strategic	Programme / Project	Operational
Probable 5	Probable 5	Probable 5
"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	Likely to occur in the life-cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.
Possible 4	Possible 4	Possible 4
Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	Likely to happen in the life-cycle of the programme or project.	May well happen on a weekly basis.
Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3
May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	May well happen on a monthly basis.
Rare 2	Rare 2	Rare 2
Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	Does not happen often - once every six months.
Negligible1	Negligible1	Negligible1
Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.

Date: 2010-09-03 Ver: m Dept/Cmte: ADT

Status: Draft Security: Public