

Major change visitors' report

Contents

Section one: Programme details.....	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Programme title	MSc Dietetics
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Dietitian
Date of submission to the HCPC	27 July 2016
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Alison Nicolls (Dietitian) Pauline Douglas (Dietitian)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 5: Practice placements

The education provider has made changes to placements in line with national changes.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Student placement portfolios
- Narrative on changes
- Module descriptors

Section three: Additional documentation

- ☒ The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- ☐ The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- ☒ There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- ☐ There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Date of ETP final decision: 25 August 2016